Optimal Thermal Unit Commitment Solution integrating Renewable Energy with Generator Outage


Abstract: The increasing concern of global climate changes, the promotion of renewable energy sources, primarily wind generation, is a welcome move to reduce the pollutant emissions from conventional power plants. Integration of wind power generation with the existing power network is an emerging research field. This paper presents a meta-heuristic algorithm based approach to determine the feasible dispatch solution for wind integrated thermal power system. The Unit Commitment (UC) process aims to identify the best feasible generation scheme of the committed units such that the overall generation cost is reduced, when subjected to a variety of constraints at each time interval. As the UC formulation involves many variables and system and operational constraints, identifying the best solution is still a research task. Nowadays, it is inevitable to include power system reliability issues in operation strategy. The generator failure and malfunction are the prime influencing factor for reliability issues hence they have considered in UC formulation of wind integrated thermal power system. The modern evolutionary algorithm known as Grey Wolf Optimization (GWO) algorithm is applied to solve the intended UC problem. The potential of the GWO algorithm is validated by the standard test systems. Besides, the ramp rate limits are also incorporated in the UC formulation. The simulation results reveal that the GWO algorithm has the capability of obtaining economical resolutions with good solution quality.
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Nomenclature

Indices:

\(i\) Index of thermal generating unit.

\(t\) Index of hour (sub interval).

Parameters:

\(a_i, b_i, c_i\) Cost coefficients of generating unit \(i\).

\(N\) Number of generators.

\(T\) Total scheduling period.

\(P_{\text{max}}\) Maximum real power generation limit of unit \(i\) (MW).

\(P_{\text{min}}\) Minimum real power generation limit of unit \(i\) (MW).

\(T_{\text{up}}\) Minimum up time of unit \(i\).

\(T_{\text{off}}\) Minimum down time of unit \(i\).

\(SC_i(t)\) Start up cost of unit \(i\) at hour \(t\) ($).

\(SD_i(t)\) Shut down cost of unit \(i\) at hour \(t\) ($).

\(h_{\text{cost}}\) Hot start cost of unit \(i\) ($).

\(ccost\) Cold start cost of unit \(i\) ($).

\(cs\) Cold start time of unit \(i\).

\(P_d(t)\) Load demand at hour \(t\).

\(P_{\text{wmax}}\) Maximum real power generation limit of wind farm (MW).

\(P_{\text{wmin}}\) Minimum real power generation limit of wind farm (MW).

\(T_{\text{Mon}}\) Maximum up time limit of unit \(i\).

\(T_{\text{Moff}}\) Maximum down time limit of unit \(i\).

\(T_c\) Duration of the last cycle of the previous scheduling day.

\(BT_{c^{-1}}\) Scheduling time remaining after the allocation of the first \(c\)-1 cycles.

\(\gamma\) Forced outage rate.

\(R\) Spinning reserve requirement in the hour \(t\) (MW).

\(DR_t\) Down ramp limit of unit \(i\).

\(UR_t\) Up ramp limit of unit \(i\).

Variables:

\(P_i(t)\) Real power generation of unit \(i\) at hour \(t\).
The Unit Commitment (UC) is one of the vital divisions of the thermal power generation scheduling. This is significant because of today's energy paucity and economical impact of power utilities. UC problem minimizes the total production cost while satisfying the forecasted load demand, physical and operational constraints of thermal generating units. The constraints include real power generation limits, minimum up/down time, up/down ramp limits and unit initial status. Here, the schedule of thermal generating units combined with wind farm to meet the power requirement of each hour in the scheduling horizon by considering the generator outages.

Various hybrid methods combining meta-heuristic with mathematical techniques or other meta-heuristic are developed to explore the search space in practical UC problems. Hybrid methods include Hybrid Taguchi (HT) - ACS [34], LR and PSO [35], GA-DE [36], hybrid harmony search/random search algorithm [37] and LR-DE [38] have been reported to solve thermal UC problems.

Quantum-inspired Evolutionary Algorithm (QEA) [39] yields feasible solutions even with small population compared with the EA. Advanced Quantum-inspired Evolutionary Algorithm (AQEA) [40] and Quantum-inspired Binary GSA (QBGSA) [41] have also been evolved for UC problem.

Abstract:

The enhanced versions of PL, MIP and LR have been developed to address their limitations. Extended PL (ExPL) [7], Enhanced PL (EPL) [8], Improved PL (IPL) [9], Improved MIP (IMIP) [10], Improved LR (ILR) [11] and Parallel Augment LR (PALR) [12] have been applied for the UC solution.

A straightforward (SF) [13] method has also been proposed in which the UC problem is decomposed into three sub problems that are solved in sequence. Most of the above approaches suffers with the curse of dimensionality and are commonly stuck at a premature optimal solution point. These limitations can be overcome by using artificial intelligence techniques.

Soft computing techniques such as Simulated Annealing (SA) [14], Genetic Algorithm (GA) [15], Differential Evolution (DE) [16, 17], Expert System (ES) [18], Neural Network (NN) [19], Ant Colony System (ACS) algorithm [20], Evolutionary Programming (EP) [21], Bacterial Foraging Algorithm (BFA) [22], Shuffled Frog Leaping Algorithm (SFLA) [23], Gravitational Search Algorithm (GSA) [24], Quasi-Oppositional Teaching Learning Based Optimization (QOTLBO) algorithm [25] and Invasive Weed Optimization (IWO) [26] have been reported in the field of thermal UC.

The modified versions of these techniques have been developed in order to improve the solution quality. The altered versions of SA, Absolutely Stochastic SA (ASSA) [27] and Adaptive SA (ASA) [28] have been evolved to solve the UC problem. The improved versions of GA namely Integer Coded GA (ICGA) [29] and Binary-real Coded GA (BCGA) [30] have been applied to determine optimal solution for UC problem. The modified versions of Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) namely fuzzy controlled binary PSO [31] and pseudo-inspired weight-improved crazy PSO [32] have also been applied to solve thermal UC problem. Fireworks Algorithm [33] is one of the recently developed swarm optimization algorithm which is also applied to solve for UC problem.

Various hybrid methods combining meta-heuristic with mathematical techniques or other meta-heuristic are developed for solving UC problem and are classified as traditional, artificial intelligence and hybrid methods.

1.1 Review of Existing Methods

The traditional methods such as Priority List (PL) [1], Branch-and-Bound (BB) [2], Dynamic Programming (DP) [3], Integer Programming (IP) [4], Mixed Integer Programming (MIP) [5] and Lagrangian Relaxation (LR) [6] have been employed to solve thermal UC problems. These techniques are simple and fast, nevertheless most of them suffer to handle large and non-convex search space.
determine the proximity of the estimated solution to the optimal solution. Parameter selection plays a vital role in success of these techniques but it is a time consuming process, as it requires complete knowledge about the algorithm.

A novel Swarm Intelligence (SI) technique, Grey Wolf Optimization (GWO) [42] has been developed to solve optimization problems. This technique has unique behaviour which other SI techniques not exhibit is mimicking the leadership hierarchy of grey wolves, well known for their pack hunting. This motivates the researchers to apply GWO for solving UC problems. The merits of the GWO are easy to handle, simple and require tuning of few parameters.

1.3 Research Gap and Contribution

The determination of thermal UC scheduling has been reported in several existing literature. In UC integrated wind power generation considering reliability analysis [43, 44], only few research works has been carried. The incorporation of wind power and forced outage rate make further the solution space as non-linear that leads to identification of optimal solution is a challenging work. Profuse artificial intelligence techniques exist for the UC solution, still improving their solution quality is interesting research task. The advantages of GWO against other population based algorithms motivate us to use it as the main optimization tool to solve the WIUC problem.

1.4 Paper Organization

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, the mathematical formulation of WIUC problem is presented. Section 3 describes the implementation of GWO. The numerical results and discussions are presented in Section 4. In section 5, the performance analysis of the GWO algorithm is included. Finally, the conclusion is presented in section 6.

2 Problem Formulation

2.1 Objective Function

The total cost, over the entire scheduling period is the sum of the running cost, start up cost and shut down cost of all the units [7]. Accordingly, the overall objective function of the UC problem is stated as:

$$F_i(P_i(t)) = a_i + b_i P_i(t) + c_i P_i^2(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The generator start up cost depends on the time, the unit has been off prior to start up. In this work, time-dependent start up cost is used and is defined as follows:

$$SC_i = \begin{cases} hcost_i ; T_i^{off} \leq X_i^{off} \leq T_i^{off} + c_{shour} \\ ccost_i ; X_i^{off} > T_i^{off} + c_{shour} \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The SD cost is usually given a constant value for each unit. In this paper, the SD cost has been taken equal to zero for each unit.

The objective function, i.e., minimization of total cost \( F_i \) is subject to the system and generating unit constraints which are as follows.

2.2 System Constraint

2.2.1 Power balance constraint

The total power generated by the combination of thermal and wind generating units must meet the load demand \( P_d(t) \) on hourly basis:

$$P_d(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i(t) + P_w(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

2.3 Unit Constraints

The generating unit operational constraints are as follows [7, 16]:

2.3.1 Generation limits

The real power generation of each generator has a lower and upper limits, so that generation should lie within this boundary. This inequality is stated as follows:

$$P_{min} \leq P_i(t) \leq P_{max}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$P_{wmin} \leq P_w(t) \leq P_{wmax}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

2.3.2 Unit minimum up/down time constraints

The inequality constraints of minimum up/down time limits of generating units is given by

$$T_i^{on} \leq X_i^{on}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$T_i^{off} \leq X_i^{off}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

2.3.3 Generator forced outage rate

The equipment malfunction is considered as certain percentage of the load in each interval, by considering the generator forced outage rate, the UC solution should satisfy the condition as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} U_i(t) \cdot P_i \cdot \cdot P_{mout} \geq P_d(t) + R$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)


\[ P_i = 1 - \gamma \]  

(10)

### 2.3.4 Up/down ramp limits

The up and down ramp limits of the thermal units are given by

\[ DR_i \leq P_i(t) - P_i(t-1) \leq UR_i \]  

(11)

### 3 Unit Commitment based on GWO

The GWO algorithm has essential steps such as social hierarchy, encircling, hunting, attacking and search for prey. The implementation of GWO algorithm for solving UC problem is detailed in this section.

#### 3.1 Definition of Wolf and Initial Population

In the integer coded GWO, operating mode (ON/OFF) of thermal unit over the scheduling duration is indicated by a sequence of integer numbers which represents the Wolf Position (WP). The duration of continuous ON and OFF state is indicated by positive and negative integers in WP. Based on number of load peaks during the UC horizon and the sum of the minimum up and down times of the unit, the number of a unit’s ON/OFF cycles are decided. For base, medium, and peak load units, the numbers of ON/OFF cycles are 2, 3, and 5 respectively. To overcome the restriction of search space for base and medium units due to reduction of cycles, the number of cycles of all units same as number of cycles peak load units are selected. For day scheduling (D), NC is equal to D \times 5. Each solution contains N \times D \times 5 variables for D-day scheduling.

The initial population of the GWO is generated as follows:

The running duration of the first cycle of unit i, \( T^i_1 \) is initialized by considering unit i operating state of the last cycle of the previous scheduling day to avoid violation of minimum up/down time constraints.

\[
T^i_1 = \begin{cases} 
  +\text{Rand} \left( \max \left(0, T^i_{\text{Min}} - T^i_0 \right), T \right), & \text{if } T^i_0 > 0 \\
  -\text{Rand} \left( \max \left(0, T^i_{\text{Min}} + T^i_0 \right), T \right), & \text{if } T^i_0 < 0
\end{cases}
\]  

(12)

For \( c < NC \), the operating period of the \( c \)th cycle of unit \( i \), \( T^i_c \) is determined by taking into account of the minimum up and down time constraints of the generating units, the UC scheduling period and the operating period of the \( c-1 \) prior cycles of operation of the unit.

For \( T^i_{c-1} < 0 \), cycle \( c \) is in ON mode with duration

\[
T^i_c = \begin{cases} 
  +\text{Rand} \left( T^i_{\text{Min}} , BT^i_{c-1} \right), & \text{if } BT^i_{c-1} > T^i_{\text{Min}} \\
  +BT^i_{c-1}, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]  

(13)

For \( T^i_{c-1} > 0 \), cycle \( c \) is in OFF mode with duration

\[
T^i_c = \begin{cases} 
  -\text{Rand} \left( T^i_{\text{Min}}, BT^i_{c-1} \right), & \text{if } BT^i_{c-1} > T^i_{\text{Min}} \\
  -BT^i_{c-1}, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]  

(14)

\[
BT^i_{c-1} = T - \sum_{j=1}^{c-1} T^i_j
\]  

(15)

By taking into account the randomly generated cycle durations, the entire scheduling period is covered with the first \( c < NC \) operating cycles. The remaining cycles are filled with zero. Once initial population is determined, the unit minimum up and down-time constraints are satisfied automatically.

#### 3.2 GWO Execution for WIUC

In this section, the algorithmic steps of GWO for WIUC are presented. The constraint handling schemes are also briefed:

1) Read the system data and initialize GWO parameters such as population size (PS), maximum number of iterations (iter-max) and the vector value (a, A and C).

2) Initialization

The initial population (\( X_0 \)) is generated as follows:

a) The entire scheduling period is divided into number of cycles and is denoted by \( NC \).

b) All the units are committed based on their initial state conditions.

c) The operating duration is determined by considering the minimum up and down time constraints.

d) This process is repeated for all \( NC-1 \) cycles and the remaining time is computed which is the operating duration of the last segment.

e) Apply the constraint handling scheme to satisfy the operational constraints.

f) The online generating units along with dependent units are identified within their operational limits.

3) Compute the fitness of each individual, an individual having the minimum fitness is mimicked as the alpha, second minimum is beta and third minimum is delta.

\[
\text{Fitness} = F_i + OCV
\]  

(16)

where, \( OCV \) is the Operational Constraint Violation and \( X_a, X_b \) and \( X_c \) are the best, second and third search agents respectively.

4) iter-max = iter-max + 1.

5) Search agent, \( SA_g=SA_g+1 \).

6) Modify the generation of \( N-1 \) online units based on the hunting mechanism.

\[
X^{i+1} = \frac{1}{3} [ \left( X_a - A_x(D_a) \right) + \left( X_b - A_x(D_b) \right) + \left( X_c - A_x(D_c) \right) ]
\]  

(17)

where, \( D_a = |C_1X_a - X|; D_b = |C_2X_b - X|; D_c = |C_3X_c - X|; \)}
In this section, the effectiveness of the GWO method is tested on the standard test system having ten thermal generating units with one wind farm for a scheduling horizon of 24 hours. The algorithm is developed in Matlab platform and is executed on a personal computer configured with Intel core i3 processor 2.20 GHz and 4 GB RAM. The thermal unit data and load demands of ten thermal units are extracted from the literature [15].

The wind farm consists of similar type of 20 number of wind turbine generators which are operating in parallel. The wind power generation data [45] is presented in Table 1 which are calculated using forecasted wind power beforehand and converted into electrical power. The minimum and maximum output power delivered by the wind farm is 15 MW and 100 MW respectively. The wind farm generates 15.01 MW at 10th hour as minimum output and 98.559 MW at 16th hour as maximum output.

The GWO algorithm has been tested on the standard 10 unit system with the scheduling horizon of 24 hours. For each unit, the maximum number of cycles is 5. For each problem set, 50 test trials are made. The random initial population is generated for each run. Multiple runs have been carried out, to ascertain the robustness of the GWO in determining optimum UC scheduling. Two case studies have been conducted in order to show the effectiveness of GWO in solving UC problem. The configuration for final population to UC problem using GWO is illustrated in the Fig. 1.

### 4.1 Reliability Constrained UC

Recently, the reliability becomes vital criteria in the power system operations. To enhance the better operations and scheduling in the power system, many optimization tools have been developed. By integrating the above, reliability constrained optimization is developed to improve the performance of power system. The uncertainties in power system scheduling is taken into account. By considering, the various combination of all component states, system state is prepared. The probability of component is appears in the component state. The evaluation of power generation systems is carried out by ascertaining the generation capability to meet the requirement of the system load. Here, we assume that the transmission and distribution facilities are completely reliable. That means the generated energy are transmitted and distributed to the load centres without failure. The generating system reliability indices are treated as the expected value of a test function applied to a system state. The state of each component presented in vector to determine whether the specific generation combination yields to a feasible or infeasible solution. The mathematical expectation of a given reliability index is considered as fundamental parameter in reliability evaluation.

### 4.2 Reliability Constrained WIUC

In recent past, a number of initiatives have been taken to enhance the utilization of wind power in the electric power generation sector. Limited predictability and variability of wind power makes the operation of power system is problematic. The Wind Integrated Thermal Scheduling (WITS) problem plays a vital role in generating green power. The optimal selection and optimal dispatch of thermal units require to be modified based on wind farm output. This makes WITS is a complex optimization problem, that has to identify the optimal schedule of generating units while satisfying all prevailing constraints. GWO algorithm is used to determine the generating schedule of thermal units.

By observing Table 3, it can be understood that the minimum up/down time constraints and initial status of units are satisfied for all thermal generating units. First two thermal units are committed for whole scheduling horizon, because these units have high commitment priorities than other thermal units. They function as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period (h)</th>
<th>Wind Power (MW)</th>
<th>Period (h)</th>
<th>Wind Power (MW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>42.602</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>41.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35.409</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>50.478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>17.193</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>98.559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>72.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>31.309</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>49.655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>36.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>32.802</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>57.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>21.784</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>64.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.01</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>85.541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>24.383</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>70.677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>27.058</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>61.298</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A= 2α.rand – a.

7) Apply constraint handling strategy.
8) Repeat step 5 for all search agents. Otherwise go to next step.
9) Update the vector values of (a, A and C).
10) Compute the fitness for all search agents.
11) Update the values of Xa, Xp and Xf.
12) Termination criterion.

Repeat the procedure from steps 4 to 6, until the maximum number of iteration is reached.
Table 2: Maximum possible output of thermal units Forced Outage Rate by GWO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Maximum output considering FOR (MW)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>126.1</td>
<td>126.1</td>
<td>157.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>82.45</td>
<td>53.35</td>
<td>53.35</td>
<td>53.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Wind Combined Schedule of 10-Unit System with Forced Outage Rate by GWO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hour</th>
<th>Real power output of units in MW</th>
<th>P_i in MW</th>
<th>P_d in MW</th>
<th>F(P_d(t)) in $</th>
<th>S_{C}(t) in $</th>
<th>S_{i} in $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>216.04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>273.24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>323.65</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>411.35</td>
<td>50.10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>387.55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>411.35</td>
<td>59.89</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>391.45</td>
<td>126.10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>126.10</td>
<td>160.10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>126.10</td>
<td>118.36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>126.10</td>
<td>157.14</td>
<td>57.94</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>126.10</td>
<td>157.14</td>
<td>77.60</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>126.10</td>
<td>157.14</td>
<td>77.60</td>
<td>35.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>126.10</td>
<td>157.14</td>
<td>31.72</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>126.10</td>
<td>157.14</td>
<td>89.62</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>401.45</td>
<td>126.10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>232.91</td>
<td>126.10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>209.25</td>
<td>126.10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>331.79</td>
<td>126.10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>126.10</td>
<td>28.65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>126.10</td>
<td>152.91</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>126.10</td>
<td>55.85</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>377.00</td>
<td>126.10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>367.97</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>297.35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Must-Run" units. The optimum UC schedule considering Forced Outage Rate (FOR) limits obtained by GWO is presented in Table 3. Assume that FOR of all thermal unit is 0.03. Table 2 shows the maximum possible output of all thermal units considering FOR. Table 3 also shows the optimum thermal UC schedule considering thermal generator outage and real power sharing of committed generating units. It is observed that the real power generation by thermal units (G1-G10) and wind power plants is equivalent to the power demand P_d (t) for each hour. The operational constraints such as generation limits, minimum up/down time and initial status of units are also satisfied in this case study. The fuel, start up and total costs obtained in this case are $539432.90, $4260 and $543692.90 respectively.
4.3 Reliability Constrained WIUC considering Ramp Rates

In this case, the ramp rate constraints are introduced in the same test system over 24 hour of schedule and the effectiveness of the GWO algorithm is verified [46]. It has been assumed that the value of down and up ramp rate of each unit are equal [47]. The ramp rate limits of thermal unit are as follows: First two units have ramp rate of 160 MW/h, unit 3, 4 and 5 have 100 MW/h as ramp rate. The value for unit 6 and 7 are 60 MW/h. Last three units have 40 MW/h as ramp rate.

Referring Tables 3 and 4, the following changes in the scheduling of thermal generating units are observed. At 13th interval, the increase and decrease in real power generation in G8 and G6 respectively to fulfil the down ramp constraint of G6. Similarly, to satisfy the down ramp rate of G2 at 16th hour, increased dispatch is made in that unit and reduced dispatch in G1. Finally, to meet the up ramp constraint of G3 at 20th period, decreased generation is allotted in that unit and increased dispatch in G6. The sharing of real power generation among the committed units increases the fuel and total costs.

The scheduling schemes of case 2 and 3 are same. The introduction of ramp rate constraints made changes only in the dispatches of the committed generating units.

The wind generating units along with thermal units meet the power demand in each interval. The obtained fuel, start up and total costs are $539494.80, $4260 and $543754.80 respectively. The total operating hours of all thermal units for both cases are illustrated in Fig. 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4 Wind Combined Schedule of 10-Unit System with Forced Outage Rate and Ramp Rate by GWO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Cost($) 539494.80 4260 543754.80
5 Performance Analysis

5.1 Robustness

Since the GWO algorithm is a stochastic soft computing technique, the initial population is made using random numbers. Hence, number of trails should be made to ensure the performance of GWO. Hence the optimal solution is determined by carrying out several trails. For real time problems like UC, it is desired that each trail of the execution should approach near to global optimum solution. To ascertain the robustness of GWO, 50 number of trails are made to determine the optimal scheduling. For particular load demand, the frequency of convergence to best cost is presented in Figs. 3 and 4. Both figures illustrate that when compared with existing algorithms, GWO algorithm has significant robustness.

5.2 Success Rate

It indicates that in how many trails the determined total cost is less than the mean cost. The success rate of GWO is greater than 85% in both cases. It can be concluded that GWO algorithm has good success rate and robustness compared with other reported algorithms.

6 Conclusions

Application of GWO is a novel swarm intelligence approach in solving the UC problem with significant amount of wind power considering reliability analysis. The total objective function is the sum of the objectives and constraints, which are fuel cost, start-up cost and power demand. The up and down ramp constraints are also satisfied for each unit. The GWO algorithm is used to validate the numerical results for standard ten unit system. The inclusion of ramp rate constraints with above system also presented. It can be concluded that the intended scheme saves the operating cost in addition to less fuel consumption and emission of thermal units. The implementation of GWO is simple and it successfully handled the operational constraints. The optimum solution for WIUC problem can be consistently obtained by GWO. Results illustrate that intended algorithm is a powerful tool for solving WIUC problem.
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