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Abstract: This article presents an improved direct vector command (DVC) based on intelligent space vector modulation (SVM) for a doubly fed induction generator (DFIG) integrated in a wind turbine system (WTS). The major disadvantages that is usually associated with DVC scheme is the power ripples and harmonic current. To overcome this disadvantages an advanced SVM technique based on fuzzy regulator (FSVM) is proposed. The proposed regulator is shown to be able to reduce the active and reactive powers ripples and to improve the performances of the DVC method. Simulation results are shown by using Matlab/Simulink.
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1 Introduction

The DFIG is at present the most commonly used in WTSs due to their advantageous qualities of cost, robustness, and performances. In the most WTSs configurations, the stator side is directly connected to the grid and the rotor side is connected to the grid through a back-to-back converter [1, 2]. Many command schemes such as vector command (VC) [3, 4], sliding mode command (SMC) [5, 6], artificial intelligent command (AIC) [7], direct torque command (DTC) [8-10], LQR command of DFIG [11] and direct power command (DPC) [12, 13] have been proposed to command especially active and reactive powers of the DFIG.

Since vector command scheme is employed to command the grid-side converter (GSC) and rotor-side converter (RSC), Controlling the active and reactive energy of DFIG is separately performed by vector command of RSC, thus achieving the regulatory energy factor is possible. However, VC of GSC in addition to supporting the DC link voltage can command the active energy exchanged between the GSC and the grid [14]. A decoupled command of the stator active and reactive powers has been achieved by regulating the decomposed rotor currents with PI regulators [15]. Since the PWM (pulse width modulation) strategy is usually used in command of machine drive. However, this strategy scheme is the simple one and easy to implement. On the other hand, this strategy gives more THD (total harmonic distortion), and high ripple in torque, flux and powers of the DFIG machine. To overcome the drawbacks of classical PWM strategy, a new modulation strategy for the inverter control was proposed by [16, 17] as space vector modulation to command active and reactive powers. However, this technique gives 15% more voltage output compared to the classical PWM technique. On the other hand, the SVM technique is complex command and need to calculate the sector and angle. In this article, we propose a new SVM strategy based on the calculation of minimum and maximum of three-phase voltage. The advantages of the proposed SVM strategy is not needed to calculate the angle and sector, easy to implement, simple scheme and gives minimum THD compared to PWM modulation.

On the other hand, the essential drawbacks of SVM strategy using hysteresis controllers are the variable switching frequency and high ripples. To avoid these problems of the SVM technique, a new SVM technique has been proposed in this article based on fuzzy logic (FSVM).

In our paper, three different DVC command schemes
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will be compared with each other. These schemes are DVC command using classical PWM technique, DVC using SVM technique and DVC using FSVM strategy. The proposed commands schemes are described clearly and simulation results are reported to demonstrate its effectiveness. The use command schemes are implemented in Matlab.

2 The Model of DFIG

The model of the DFIG is same as the cage induction machine. The application of Park model of the DFIG permits to write the dynamic voltages and fluxes equations in dq reference frame are given by the following equations [18, 19]:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{ds} &= \frac{d}{dt} \psi_{ds} - \omega_{s} \psi_{dq} \\
V_{dq} &= \frac{d}{dt} \psi_{dq} + \omega_{s} \psi_{ds} \\
V_{ds} &= \frac{d}{dt} \psi_{ds} - \omega_{s} \psi_{dq} \\
V_{dq} &= \frac{d}{dt} \psi_{dq} + \omega_{s} \psi_{ds}
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)

Rotor and stator fluxes:

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_{ds} &= L_s I_{ds} + M I_{dq} \\
\psi_{dq} &= L_s I_{dq} + M I_{ds} \\
\psi_{dr} &= L_s I_{dr} + M I_{dq} \\
\psi_{dq} &= L_s I_{dq} + M I_{ds}
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

The torque is done as:

\[
T_r = p M (I_{ds} I_{dq} - I_{dq} I_{ds})
\]

(3)

and its associated motion equation is:

\[
T_r = J \frac{d \omega_r}{dt} + f \cdot \omega_r
\]

(4)

where \(I_{ds}, I_{dq}\) are rotor current components, \(V_{ds}, V_{dq}\) are stator voltage components, \(V_{dr}, V_{dq}\) are rotor voltage components, \(R_s\) and \(R_r\) are stator and rotor resistances, \(L_s\) and \(L_r\) are stator and rotor inductances, \(M\) is mutual inductance, \(T_r\) is the torque, \(T_i\) is the load torque, \(\Omega\) is the mechanical rotor speed, \(J\) is the inertia, \(f\) is the viscous friction coefficient and \(p\) is the number of pole pairs.

The stator area \(P_s\) and \(Q_s\) powers are defined as:

\[
\begin{align*}
P_s &= \frac{3}{2} (V_{dr} I_{dr} + V_{dq} I_{dq}) \\
Q_s &= \frac{3}{2} (V_{dq} I_{dr} - V_{dr} I_{dq})
\end{align*}
\]

(5)

3 DVC Command

In this work, the DFIG model can be described by the following state equations in the synchronous orientation frame whose axis d is aligned with the stator flux vector.

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_{ds} &= \psi_s \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{dr} = 0
\end{align*}
\]

(6)

On the other hand, by neglecting \(R\), the stator voltage can be expressed by:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{ds} &= 0 \\
V_{dq} &= \omega_{s} \psi_s
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

The active and reactive powers consequently given by the following expression:

\[
\begin{align*}
P_s &= -\frac{3}{2} \omega_s M I_{dq} \\
Q_s &= \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{\omega_s M I_{dr} - \omega_s^2 \psi_s^2}{L_s} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

(9)

The torque can then be expressed by [20]:

\[
T_r = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{M}{L_s} I_{dq} \psi_s
\]

(10)

Fig. 1 represents the DVC command technique of DFIG driven by a classical inverter using PWM inverter.
The internal structure of DVC method is shown in Fig. 2.
The PI regulators terms are calculated with a pole compensation strategy [21]. The time response of the regulated system will be fixed at 10 ms. This value is adequate for our application and a lower value might involve transient with important overshoot. The calculated terms are:

\[ K_i = 1000 \frac{Lr}{MVs} \]

\[ K_p = 1000 \frac{Lr \sigma}{MVs} \]

The terms \( K_i \) and \( K_p \) represent respectively the integral and proportional gains of PI regulator.

On the other hand, the DVC scheme of DFIG is the simple command method and easy to implement. Like every scheme method has some advantages and disadvantages. The basic disadvantages of DVC scheme using PWM inverter are the variable switching frequency, the stator reactive and stator active powers ripples. In the aim to improve the performance of the electrical drives based on classical DVC command, Space Vector Modulation (SVM) inverter and fuzzy logic space vector modulation inverter (FSVM) to reduce the reactive, active powers ripple and minimize the THD value of current (\( I_{\text{r.m.s.}} \)).

Fig. 3 shows the schematic block of a DVC method with FSVM technique. The principal of the DVC command using FSVM technique is similar to classical DVC command. However, the PWM inverter is replaced by FSVM inverter. This strategy technique based on fuzzy classification has the advantage of simplicity and easy to implement.


4 Intelligent Space Vector Modulation

4.1 SVM Strategy

The SVM technique considers this contact of the phase and reduced the ripple content of the three-phase isolated neutral load as shown in Fig. 4 [22]. The SVM method is recently showing popularity for inverter applications.

The three phases sinusoidal and balance voltages given by the equations as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{a} &= V_m \cos(\omega t) \\
V_{bn} &= V_m \cos(\omega t + \frac{2\pi}{3}) \\
V_{cn} &= V_m \cos(\omega t + \frac{4\pi}{3}) \\
V_c &= \frac{2}{3}[V_{a} + aV_{bn} + aV_{cn}]
\end{align*}
\] (13)

In this article, we propose a simple technique of SVM based on following steps:

- Calculate the minimum voltages, \(\min(V_{a}, V_{bn}, V_{cn})\),
- Calculate the maximum voltages, \(\max(V_{a}, V_{bn}, V_{cn})\),
- Find the switching states.

The SVM inverter block represents the two-level inverter model as shown in Fig. 5. The energy converter has been implemented in terms of the switching function \(g_i\) connected with each energy switch. The switching function \(g_i\) of a given energy switching can assume either 1 or 0 according to its conducting state. Since two energy switches of the similar leg cannot be on at the same time, the switching function of the phase ‘A’ for example, is distinct as:

\[
g_a + g_a' = 1
\] (15)

The principle of SVM method is that the command electrical energy vector is approximately calculated by using three adjacent vectors [23]. However, this modulation technique is detailed in [24-26].

The output phase voltages, in terms of the switching functions are:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{An} &= E \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} g_a \\ g_b \\ g_c \end{bmatrix} \\
V_{Bn} &= E \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} g_a \\ g_b \\ g_c \end{bmatrix} \\
V_{Cn} &= E \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} g_a \\ g_b \\ g_c \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\] (16)

4.2 Fuzzy Space Vector Modulation

In order to improve the two-level DVC performances, a complimentary use of the fuzzy regulator (FR) is proposed. The principle of Fuzzy Space Vector Modulation (FSVM) is similar to traditional SVM. The difference is using FRs to replace the hysteresis comparators. As shown in Fig. 6.

Fuzzy logic (FL) is recently getting increasing emphasis in drive command applications. The main preference of the FL is that is easy to implement the command that it has the ability of generalization [27]. The block diagram of FR based hysteresis comparator is shown in Fig. 6. The FR rules are written by absorbing the performance of the hysteresis comparators.

The membership function definition for the input changes “Error in comparators hysteresis” and “Change in Error of comparators hysteresis” is given by Fig. 8. The FL rules for the proposed system are given in Table 1 [28, 29]. We use the next designations for membership functions:

- NB: Negative Big
- NM: Negative Middle
- NS: Negative Small
- PS: Positive Small
- PB: Positive Big
- EZ: Equal Zero
- PM: Positive Middle.

Table 2 shows the parameters of FR.
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Fig. 5 Simulation block of proposed SVM technique.

Fig. 6 SVM method with FR.

Fig. 7 Fuzzy command of comparators hysteresis.

Fig. 8 FR sets and its memberships functions.

Table 1 FL rules of hysteresis comparators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta e$</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>NM</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>EZ</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>PB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>EZ</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>EZ</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>EZ</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>EZ</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$e = V_2 - V_{p}$, $\Delta e = \frac{d(V_2 - V_p)}{dt}$

Table 2 Parameters of FR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fis Type</th>
<th>Mamdani</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>And Method</td>
<td>Min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Or Method</td>
<td>Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implication</td>
<td>Min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregation</td>
<td>Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defuzzification</td>
<td>Centroid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 Simulations Results

The DVC scheme of a DFIG is implemented with simulation tools of MATLAB. The DFIG (1.5 MW) attached to a 398 V/50 Hz grid. Parameters of the DFIG are given in Table 3 [30, 31]. The both command strategies DVC using PWM, DVC using SVM and DVC using FSVM technique are simulated and compared regarding reference tracking, current harmonics distortion, and robustness against DFIG parameter variations.

5.1 Reference Tracking Test

Figs. 9-19 show the obtained simulation results. As it is shown in Figs. 9-12, for the three DVC command strategies, the reactive, electromagnetic torque and stator active powers tracks almost perfectly their references values. Moreover, the DVC command using FSVM strategy reduced the powers ripples and torque ripple compared to the DVC command using PWM and SVM technique (see Figs. 13-16). On the other hand, Figs. 17-19 show the THD of stator current of the doubly fed induction generator obtained using Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) method for both DVC command schemes. It can be clearly observed that the THD value is minimized for DVC using FSVM technique (THD = 0.09%) when compared to DVC command using PWM (THD = 1.22%) and DVC command using SVM technique (THD = 1.19%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3 The DFIG parameters.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of pairs poles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator inductance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor inductance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutual inductance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscous friction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9 Active power (Reference tracking test).

Fig. 10 Reactive power (Reference tracking test).

Fig. 11 Torque (Reference tracking test).

Fig. 12 Stator current (Reference tracking test).
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Fig. 13 Zoom in the active power (Reference tracking test).

Fig. 14 Zoom in the reactive power (Reference tracking test).

Fig. 15 Zoom in the torque (Reference tracking test).

Fig. 16 Zoom in the stator current (Reference tracking test).

Fig. 17 THD of one phase stator current for DVC-PWM (Reference tracking test).

Fig. 18 THD of one phase stator current for DVC-SVM (Reference tracking test).

Fig. 19 THD of one phase stator current for DVC-FSVM (Reference tracking test).
5.2 Robustness Test

In order to study the robustness of the proposed command schemes, the nominal value of the $R_s$ and $R_r$ is multiplied by 2, the values of inductances $L_s$, $M$, and $L_r$ are multiplied by 0.5. Simulation results are presented in Figs. 20-30. As it is shown by these figures, these variations present an apparent effect on the active, reactive powers, and electromagnetic torque curves and that the effect appears more significant for the DVC using PWM technique compared to DVC using SVM strategy (see Figs. 24-27).

On the other hand, this variation does not effect on the DVC using FSVM technique. However, the THD value of stator current in the DVC using FSVM technique has been reduced significantly (see Figs. 28-30). Table 4 shows the comparative analysis of THD value. Thus it can be concluded that the proposed DVC using FSVM inverter is more robust than the DVC using PWM and SVM technique.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stator Current</th>
<th>DVC-PWM</th>
<th>DVC-SVM</th>
<th>DVC-FSVM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THD [%]</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 20](image) Active power (robustness test).

![Fig. 21](image) Reactive power (robustness test).

![Fig. 22](image) Torque (robustness test).

![Fig. 23](image) Stator current (robustness test).

![Fig. 24](image) Zoom in the active power (robustness test).

![Fig. 25](image) Zoom in the reactive power (robustness test).

![Fig. 26](image) Zoom in the torque (robustness test).

![Fig. 27](image) Zoom in the stator current (robustness test).
6 Conclusion

This article presents a novel DVC scheme of a DFIG using a new modulation technique based on SVM and fuzzy logic compared with the classical PWM and SVM strategies. With results obtained from the simulation, it was clear that for the same operation conditions, the DVC scheme with FSVM technique presents good performance compared to the DVC one using SVM and PWM strategies and that was clear in the THD of current which the use of the FSVM minimize the THD more and more than the classical PWM and SVM strategy.
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