Parameter Identification of a Brushless Resolver Using Charge Response of Stator Current

D. Arab-Khaburi*, F. Tootoonchian* and Z. Nasiri-Gheidari**

Abstract: Because of temperature independence, high resolution and noiseless outputs, brushless resolvers are widely used in high precision control systems. In this paper, at first dynamic performance characteristics of brushless resolver, considering parameters identification are presented. Then a mathematical model based on d-q axis theory is given. This model can be used for studying the dynamic behavior of the resolver and steady state model is obtained by using dynamic model. The main object of this paper is to present an approach to identify electrical and mechanical parameters of a brushless resolver based on DC charge excitation and weight, pulley and belt method, respectively. Finally, the model of resolver based on the obtained parameters is simulated. Experimental results approve the validity of proposed method.
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1 Introduction

In High-performance brushless motor systems like inverter-driven permanent-magnet synchronous motor (PMSM), absolute rotor-position with high accuracy and resolution, is necessary for realizing vector control. Among various existing position sensors [1, 2], those which can provide absolute position are resolvers and optical absolute encoders. Both of them provide reliable and precise rotor position signals. So comparing with optical absolute encoders, resolvers are more mechanically reliable, and easy to be integrated with motor systems [3]. Traditional resolvers have brushes and slip-rings; but in brushless resolvers, brushes are replaced by a rotary transformer [4].

The simplified dynamic equations of resolvers have been presented in [5], in which the effects of stator current and eccentricity have been neglected and the steady state behavior of resolver has not been presented too. Ref. [6] describes a magnetic field analysis method to determine an optimal magnetic design which eliminates harmonics, using 2-D FEM (two-dimensional finite element method). But, it’s time consuming process. Also authors mentioned that their method is not accurate and practical enough [6].

On the other hand, many papers have reported on the accuracy of resolver to digital converters [7-11] and there are some papers that present parameters identification methods for rotary transformers [12]; but parameter estimation of brushless resolver has not been discussed.

The purpose of this paper is to present a mathematical model to predict the behavior of the brushless resolver and its equivalent circuit which is similar to induction motor's steady state equivalent circuit. Therefore the methods used to identify induction motor’s parameters, can be used with brushless resolver. In literatures there are many methods for determination of induction motor parameters [13-19]. Some of these methods are based on genetic algorithms [13, 14] or neural networks [15, 16]. Other works are performed to improve the accuracy [17, 18] and there are some works to reduce time of tests [19]. Each of these methods has its advantages and disadvantages. This paper presents a DC-Pulse method based on a simple configuration, which increase estimated parameters accuracy and reduce time of test. In this method, brushless resolver’s parameters are determined by analyzing the stator currents response to the DC-Pulse voltage, applied to the stator windings. An exponential curve is fitted to the stator current response in DC charge condition. Coefficients and time constants of these fitted curves can be determined. Then brushless resolver parameters will be calculated according to the related equations. Of course DC charge method is only used for electrical parameters identification but mechanical parameters (moment of inertia) must be measured using other methods.
Finally simulation results are compared with experimental ones and good agreement between them approves the parameters accuracy.

2 Resolver Model and Current Equation

The following assumptions are considered in the analysis:

a) Stator is assumed to have a sinusoidally distributed polyphase windings.

b) Rotor has a winding with sinusoidal supply.

Figure 1 shows the model of resolver. Each stator winding flux consists of leakage flux and main flux, the latter flux links the rotor.

The stator variables are transformed to the rotor reference frame which eliminates the time-varying inductances in the voltage equations. Park’s equations are obtained by setting the speed of the stator frame equal to the rotor speed. So, the voltage-current equations are as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_d \\
V_q
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
-r_e + \frac{p}{\omega_b} X_{r} & \frac{\omega_s}{\omega_b} X_{r} \\
-\frac{\omega_s}{\omega_b} X_{r} & -r_e + \frac{p}{\omega_b} X_{s}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
i_d \\
i_q
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
i_m \\
i_m
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(1)

where:

\[
X_q = X_{ns} + X_{m}\quad X_{ms} = X_{o} - X_{ns}
\]
\[
X_d = X_{ns} + X_{m}\quad X_{md} = X_{s} + X_{ms}
\]

(2)

And \( p \) is \( \frac{d}{dt} \), \( V_d \), \( V_q \) are the q-d axis stator voltages, \( V_r \) is the excitation signal of the resolver \( V_r = V_r' \cos(\omega_c t + \psi) \), \( i_d \), \( i_q \) are the d, q axis stator currents, \( i_r \) is the rotor current, \( r_e \) is the resistance of stator circuit; \( X_{rs} \), \( X_{rm} \) are respectively, the leakage and magnetizing reactance of the stator winding; \( r_s \), \( X_s \) are the resistance and reactance of rotor circuit, \( X_{md} \), \( X_{mq} \) are d-q axis mutual inductance between rotor and stator circuits, \( \omega_b \) is base angular frequency and \( \omega_c \) is the rotor angular frequency.

The electromagnetic torque developed in the resolver is given by:

\[
T_m = \frac{P}{2\omega_b}(\psi_{d1} - \psi_{q1})
\]

(3)

And the mechanical equation of resolver in per unit can be written as:

\[
T_m(\text{pu}) + T_{mech}(\text{pu}) - T_{damp}(\text{pu}) = 2H \left( \frac{\omega_s}{\omega_b} \right) \frac{dt}{dt}
\]

(4)

where \( H \) is the inertia constant expressed in second, \( T_{mech} \) is Load torque and \( T_{damp} \) is fractional torque.

For steady state conditions the electrical angular velocity of the rotor is constant and equal to \( \omega_c \), whereupon the electrical angular velocity of the synchronously rotating reference frame. In this mode of operation the rotor windings do not experience a change of flux linkages [20]. Thus, with \( \omega_c \) set equal to \( \omega_b \) and the time rate of change of all flux linkages neglected, the steady state versions of (1) become:

\[
V_d' = -r_e I_d + \frac{\omega_c}{\omega_b} X_{md} I_r
\]
\[
V_q' = -r_e I_q + \frac{\omega_c}{\omega_b} X_{mq} I_r
\]

(5)

\[
V_d'' = -r_e I_d
\]
\[
V_q'' = -r_e I_q
\]

(6)

Here the \( \omega_c \) to \( \omega_b \) ratio is again included to accommodate analysis when the operation frequency is other than rated.

In the synchronously rotating reference frame and using uppercase letters to denote the constant steady state variables:

\[
\sqrt{2} V_d = V_d' - jV_d''
\]

(7)

Substituting (5) into (7) yields:

\[
\sqrt{2} V_d = \left[ r_e + \frac{\omega_c}{\omega_b} X_d \right] I_d
\]
\[
+ \frac{\omega_c}{\omega_b} X_{md} I_r
\]

(8)

For symmetrical resolver, \( X_d = X_q \) and \( \omega_e = \omega_b \). So (8) can be writing as:

\[
\tilde{V}_d = -\left( r_e + jX_d \right) I_d + \tilde{E}_s
\]
\[
\tilde{E}_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} X_{md} I_r
\]

(9)

where

\[
X_d = X_{ns} + X_{ns}
\]

(10)
Fig. 1 Resolver model.

Considering above equations the steady state equivalent circuit of resolver is shown in Fig. 2.

It is to be noticed that stator and rotor phase number in the induction motor can be assumed equal, but resolver is similar to a synchronous generator with single phase sinusoid excitation. For using DC charge method for parameter identification in resolver, the rotor position must be at the angular position that is right as one phase and vertical versus other phase winding, and the latter phase winding, should be shorted. Fig. 3 shows the resolver equivalent circuit at this position which is similar to equivalent circuit of induction motor.

If the stator winding of the resolver is excited by a DC step voltage, the stator current transfer function based on the circuit model of Fig. 3, can be obtained as follows [21]:

$$I(s) = \frac{1}{\tau_1} \left[ 1 + \frac{sL_s}{1 + s(T_1 + T_2) + s'(\sigma T_1 T_2)} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Applying inverse Laplace transformation equation (11), stator current in time domain can be written as:

$$i_s(t) = A_1 e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_1}} + A_2 e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where:

$$T_1 = \frac{L_s'}{r_1'}, \quad L_s' = L_m + L_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

$$T_2 = \frac{L_s}{r_2}, \quad L_s = L_m + L_n$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

$$\sigma = 1 - \frac{L_n'}{L_s/T_1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{|V_0|}{r_1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

$$T_i = A_1 T_2 - A_2 T_1$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Now, if coefficients $A_1$, $A_2$ and time constants $T_1$, $T_2$ are known; electrical parameters of the resolver can be identified.

3 Experimental Test Setup

Figure 4 shows the manufactured resolver and experimental setup of it. The experimental resolver was a pancake resolver with the specifications, which presented in Table 1.

The DC voltage source of this setup is chosen to provide rated current of resolver. When switch S is closed, stator current ($I_s$) will be sensed by Hall-Effect current sensor and its output voltage is delivered to the PC via an A/D cart or monitored on digital oscilloscope.

Experimental recorded data of current curve is shown in Fig. 5 and this curve is fitted by exponential equation of (12).
Therefore current equation's coefficients and time constants can be obtained.
Finally using equations (13-19), brushless resolver parameters are calculated. Estimated parameters are presented in Table 2. After electrical parameter identification, mechanical parameter (momentum of inertia) should be estimated.

The momentum of inertia is most important mechanical parameter of resolver. Many different methods are presented for calculation of the momentum of inertia in [22]. Some of them measured J after disconnecting rotor from resolver, such as Pendulum method and tensional vibration. But there is other mechanical method that can measure rotor inertia, without disconnecting rotor,

**Table 1** Specifications of tested resolver.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input voltage (rms)</th>
<th>Maximum position error (min)</th>
<th>Maximum angular speed (rpm)</th>
<th>Output voltage (rms)</th>
<th>Duty Cycle</th>
<th>Pole number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.07 v</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>8000-12000 rpm</td>
<td>3.53 v</td>
<td>S₁</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 4** (a) Schematics of test resolver, (b) schematic of test setup, (c) Experimental setups of the test resolver for DC charge test.
by using weight, pulley and belt. The pulley is made of lightweight polymer. Of course, in this method we require a lightweight belt, some weights and two optical keys, which used for accurate time calculation, in both ends. Fig. 6 shows the experimental setup of resolver. The momentum of inertia is calculated with and without DC coupled motor.

In this method, weight acceleration is:

$$a = \frac{2(x_1 + x_2) - 4(x_1 x_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(t_1 - t_2)^2}$$

(20)

where $a$ is linear acceleration of weight, $(t_1-t_2)$ is the time required for displacement from $x_1$ to $x_2$.

In (20), we supposed that acceleration is constant at $t_2-t_1$ and weights are heavy enough that can overcome to friction. By ignoring of bearing and brush friction:

$$J = \left( \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{g} \right) W \cdot r^2 - J_o$$

(21)

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$r_s$ [Ω]</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_s$ [H]</td>
<td>$0.2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_m$ [H]</td>
<td>$2.089 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r'_r$ [Ω]</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L'_l$ [H]</td>
<td>$0.2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 5** Current response to the DC step voltage (Experimental test).

**Fig. 6** Schematic of pulley, weight and band free acceleration.
Now by applying bearing and brush frictions:

\[
\frac{(J + J_p)a}{r} = (W - W_a/g) \cdot r - F
\]  

(22)

where \( W \) is Weight of weights, \( g \) is gravitation acceleration, \( r \) is pulley radius, \( J_p \) is pulley momentum of inertia and \( F \) is friction force. Since, friction force is constant up to nominal speed \([22]\), by subtracting two set of data, the momentum of inertia is calculated as:

\[
J = \left\{ \frac{w_2 - w_1 - (w_a a_w - w_1 a_1)/g}{a_1 - a_0} \right\} \cdot r^2
\]  

(23)

That is calculated for tested resolver:

\[
J = 1.24 \times 10^{-4} \text{ [kg.m}^2\text{]} 
\]  

(24)

4 Method Validation

4.1 Simulation

The state equations on the rotating dq0 reference frame are introduced. MATLAB/Simulink software is used for simulation purposes.

Input, output and state variables are:

\[
\text{StateVariables} = [\psi_q, \psi_d, \psi'_q]
\]

\[
\text{InputVector} = [V_q', \Delta T_{mec}]
\]

\[
\text{OutputVector} = [\Delta \theta, \rho]
\]

(25)

Equation (1) shows the voltage-current relations. However, it is more convenient to use flux-linkages as the state variables \([23]\). Therefore, the currents versus flux-linkages are calculated from (5).

Considering flux-linkages as state variables, flux-linkage equations are obtained as follow:

\[
\psi_q = \omega_b \int \left( V_q + \frac{r}{X_{ds}} (-\psi_{md} + \psi_d) - \frac{\delta}{\omega_b} \psi_d \right) dt
\]  

(26)

\[
\psi_d = \omega_b \int \left( V_d + \frac{r}{X_{ds}} (-\psi_{md} + \psi_d) + \frac{\delta}{\omega_b} \psi_d \right) dt
\]  

(27)

\[
\psi'_q = \omega_b \int \left( V_q' + \frac{r'}{X_{ds}} (\psi_{md} - \psi'_d) \right) dt
\]  

(28)

where

\[
\psi_{md} = \left( \frac{1}{X_{ds}} + \frac{1}{X_{qs}} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{\psi_q}{X_{ds}} + \frac{\psi'_q}{X_{qs}} \right)
\]  

(29)

\[
\psi_{md} = \left( \frac{1}{X_{ds}} + \frac{1}{X_{qs}} \right)^{-1} \frac{\psi_d}{X_{ds}}
\]  

(30)

Now the output angular position, stator and rotor current can be calculated as:

\[
\theta(t) = \delta(t) = \theta_0(t) - \theta_1(t) = \int (\alpha_0 - \alpha_1) dt + \theta(0) - \theta_1(0)
\]  

(31)

\[
i_q = \frac{\psi_q - \psi_{md}}{X_{ds}}
\]  

(32)

\[
i_d = \frac{\psi_d - \psi_{md}}{X_{ds}}
\]  

(33)

\[
i'_q = \frac{\psi'_q - \psi_{md}}{X_{ds}}
\]  

(34)

In symmetrical resolver d-q axis impedances are equal. Therefore in the above equations \( X_d \) is equal to \( X_q \). It is considerable that the proposed model is able to describe eccentric resolver by setting unequal amount of \( X_d \) and \( X_q \) \((X_d \neq X_q)\). Performance prediction of eccentric resolver will be studied in another paper.

Figure 7 shows the simulation block diagram of resolver.

4.2 Results and Discussions

Figure 8 shows the manufactured resolver and experimental setup of it. The resolver was tested using the 100 watt, 12000 r.p.m. DC motor.

It is to be noted, simulation and measured result can be compared in two categories:

(a) Loading test of resolver, because it is similar to a synchronous generator with sinusoid excitation.

(b) Comparing of position data since the resolver is a position sensor.

Figure 9 shows the test and computed d-q voltages of resolver with 4000 (Hz) excitation and the nominal output current (10 mA).
Fig. 7 Block diagram of resolver simulation.

Fig. 8 (a) Schematics of test resolver, (b) Experimental setups of the test resolver.
Fig. 9 Output voltage of resolver versus time with 4000 Hz excitation and 10 mA output current (a) simulated q-axis voltage (b) simulated d-axis voltage and (c) measured q-d axis voltage.

It has been shown that the amplitude of the measured voltage is slightly larger than simulated one and the maximum amplitude error is about +5.89%. This error maybe comes from capacitive effect of winding in high frequency operation which is ignored in our simulation. So the simulation and experiments has been repeated with the same current and lower excitation frequency such as one tenths of nominal frequency (0.1×f₀=400 Hz). Figure 10 shows the results of recent condition. Maximum error decreases to -1.78%. This error reduction confirms the capacitive effect.

In practice, resolvers are loaded by RDCs (Resolver to Digital Converters). The input impedance of RDC is very high. So resolver’s stator currents are in the order of micro amperes (According to AD2S80 datasheet). Therefore the capacitive effect can be neglected at practice.

Figure 11 shows test’s results in the nominal frequency (4 kHz) and 60 µA output current (5×nominal current of RDC-AD2S80). It can be seen good agreement between calculated and experimental results (maximum error is about +1.19%).

Table 3 and Fig. 12 show the comparison of the simulation and experimental results that validate the resolver model and parameter estimation method.

It is obvious that resolver is a position sensor, so comparison of sensor output (position) is important. For practical test we used from a high precision mechanical position sensor (tycope\(^1\)) that connected to VF5-HP20 CNC (Computer Numeric Control) Machine. The resolver output is obtained from arctangent of output voltages ratio.

Figure 13 shows the position outputs from simulation, resolver and high precision mechanical position sensor (tycope). Comparison of these results shows that the maximum position error of resolver is ±3 Arcmin. Therefore these results validate the accuracy of proposed parameter estimation method. Since proposed setup doesn’t need any extra equipments and it has simple test configuration, this method is not only accurate but also simple and fast.

\(^1\) The Tycope is a mechanical gearbox with high rotary reduction ratio \((1:n, 500<n<3000)\). It can divide rotary position into small parts.
Fig. 11 Output voltage of resolver versus time with 4000 Hz excitation and 60 µA output current (a) simulated q-axis voltage (b) simulated d-axis voltage and (c) measured q-d axis voltage.

Table 3 Comparison of calculated and measured results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output current (mA)</th>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Output voltage (simulated)</th>
<th>Output voltage (measured)</th>
<th>Error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>+5.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>-1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>+1.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Conclusions
In this paper a new dynamic and steady state analysis of brushless resolver has been presented and a new approach based on DC step voltage excitation is presented and applied to brushless resolver stator windings. Stator current is measured and current curve is drawn. Using equivalent circuit of resolver at steady state, the current equation is obtained; the curve is fitted to an exponential current curve, to determine
coefficients and time constants. By using known equations, resolver parameters are estimated. The experimental test for a definite load validates obtained results.

Advantages of this method are:
- Simplicity of configuration
- Accuracy of the results
- Reduction of the time consuming.

Furthermore, steady state equivalent circuits of a brushless resolver are presented as first time.
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