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Abstract: Long-term demand forecasting presents the first step in planning and developing future generation, transmission and distribution facilities. One of the primary tasks of an electric utility accurately predicts load demand requirements at all times, especially for long-term. Based on the outcome of such forecasts, utilities coordinate their resources to meet the forecasted demand using a least-cost plan. In general, resource planning is performed subject to numerous uncertainties. Expert opinion indicates that a major source of uncertainty in planning for future capacity resource needs and operation of existing generation resources is the forecasted load demand. This paper presents an overview of the past and current practice in long-term demand forecasting. It introduces methods, which consists of some traditional methods, neural networks, genetic algorithms, fuzzy rules, support vector machines, wavelet networks and expert systems.
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1 Introduction

A power system serves one function and that is to supply customers, both large and small, with electrical energy as economically and as reliability as possible. Another responsibility of power utilities is to recognize the needs of their customers (Demand) and supply the necessary energies. Limitations of energy resources in addition to environmental factors, requires the electric energy to be used more efficiently and more efficient power plants and transmission lines to be constructed [1]. Long-term demand forecasts span from eight years ahead up to fifteen years. They have an important role in the context of generation, transmission and distribution network planning in a power system. The objective of power system planning is to determine an economical expansion of the equipment and facilities to meet the customers' future electric demand with an acceptable level of reliability and power quality [2].

Accurate long-term demand forecasting plays an essential role for electric power system planning. It corresponds to load demand forecasting with lead times enough to plan for long-term maintenance, construction scheduling for developing new generation facilities, purchasing of generating units, developing transmission and distribution systems. The accuracy of the long-term load forecast has significant effect on developing future generation and distribution planning. An expensive overestimation of load demand will result in substantial investment for the construction of excess power facilities, while underestimation will result in customer discontentment. Unfortunately, it is difficult to forecast load demand accurately over a planning period of several years. This fact is due to the uncertain nature of the forecasting process. There are a large number of influential that characterize and directly or indirectly affect the underlying forecasting process; all of them uncertain and uncontrollable [3]. However, neither the accurate amount of needed power nor the preparation for such amounts of power is as easy as it looks, because: (1) long-term load forecasting is always inaccurate (2) peak demand is very much dependant on temperature (3) some of the necessary data for long-term forecasting including weather condition and economic data are not available, (4) it is very difficult to store electric power with the present technology, (5) it takes several years and requires a great amount of investment to construct new power generation stations and transmission facilities [4]. Therefore, any long-term load demand forecasting, by nature, is inaccurate!

Generally, long-term load demand forecasting methods can be classified into two broad categories: parametric methods and artificial intelligence based methods. The artificial intelligence methods are further classified into neural networks [1], [2], [4], [8], [10], support vector machines [15], genetic algorithms [14],...
wavelet networks [12] [13], fuzzy logics [16] and expert system [17] methods. The parametric methods are based on relating load demand to its affecting factors by a mathematical model. The model parameters are estimated using statistical techniques on historical data of load and it's affecting factors. Parametric load forecasting methods can be generally categorized under three approaches: regression methods, time series prediction methods [3]. Traditional statistical load demand forecasting techniques or parametric methods have been used in practice for a long time. These traditional methods can be combined using weighted multi-model forecasting techniques, showing adequate results in practical system. However, these methods cannot properly present the complex nonlinear relationships that exist between the load and a series of factors that influence on it [2].

In this paper, we introduce a brief overview in long-term forecasting methods. This paper is organized as follows. Next section briefly describes parametric models. Section III describes different artificial intelligence based methods and section IV is the conclusions of paper.

2 Parametric Methods

The three types of well-known parametric methods are as, trend analysis, end-use modeling and econometric modeling.

2.1 Trend Analysis

Trend analysis extends past rates of electricity demand in to the future, using techniques that range from hand-drawn straight lines to complex computer-produced curves. These extensions constitute the forecast. Trend analysis focuses on past changes or movements in electricity demand and uses them to predict future changes in electricity demand. Usually, there is not much explanation of why demand acts as it does, in the past or in the future. Trending is frequently modified by informed judgment, wherein utility forecasters modify their forecasts based on their knowledge of future developments which might make future electricity demand behave differently than it has in the past [5].

The advantage of trend analysis is that, it is simple, quick and inexpensive to perform [5].

The disadvantage of a trend forecast is that it produces only one result, future electricity demand. It does not help analyze why electricity demand behaves the way it does, and it provides no means to accurately measure how changes in energy prices or government polities influence electricity demand [5].

2.2 End-Use Models

The end-use approach directly estimates energy consumption by using extensive information on end users, such as applications, the customer use, their age, sizes of houses, and so on. Statistical information about customers along with dynamics of change is the basis for the forecast [5].

End-use models focus on the various uses of electricity in the residential, commercial, and industrial sector. These models are based on the principle that electricity demand is derived from customer's demand for light, cooling, heating, refrigeration, etc. Thus, end-use models explain energy demand as a function of the number of applications in the market [5].

Ideally, this approach is very accurate. However, it is sensitive to the amount and quality of end-use data. For example, in this method the distribution of equipment age is important for particular types of appliances. End-use forecast requires less historical data but more information about customers and their equipments [5].

This method predicts the energy consumptions. If we want to calculate the load, we have to have the load factor in each sections and different types of energy consumptions and then by load factor we can calculate the load in each section.

The system load factor is defined as follows equation:

\[
\text{LoadFactor} = \frac{\text{Average - load demand}}{\text{Peak - load demand}} \times \frac{\text{annual KWh energy}}{\text{peak - load demand} \times 8760 \text{ hours/year}}
\]

The disadvantage of end-use analysis is that most end-use models assume a constant relationship between electricity and end-use (electricity per appliance). This might hold for over a few years, but over 10 or 20-year period, energy saving technology or energy prices will undoubtedly change, and the relationships will not remain constant [6].

2.3 Econometric Models

The econometric approach combines economic theory and statistical techniques for forecasting electricity demand. The approach estimates the relationship between energy consumption (dependent variables) and factors influencing consumption. The relationships are estimated by the least-square method or time series methods. One of the options in this framework is to aggregate the econometric approach, when consumption in different sectors (residential, commercial, industrial, etc.) is calculated as a function of weather, economic and other variables, and then estimates are assembled using recent historical data. Integration of the econometric approach in to the end-use approach introduces behavioral components in to the end-use equations [5].

The advantage of econometrics are that it provides detailed information on future levels of electricity demand, why future electricity demand increases, and how electricity demand is affected by all the various factors [6], [7], [29].
A disadvantage of econometric forecasting is that in order for an econometric forecast to be accurate, the changes in electricity remain the same in the forecast period as in the past. This assumption, which is called constant elasticity, may be hard to justify especially where very large electricity prices changes, make customers more sensitive to electricity prices.

### 2.4 Differences Between These Traditional Mentioned Method

As mentioned in the trend analysis, just past changes or movements in electricity demand and uses them to predict future changes in electricity demand, there isn't any process on why those movements happened. In this method, end users and their behavior aren't important. But in end use method, statistical information about customers along with dynamics of change is the basis for the forecast.

In Economical methods, the results estimate the relationship between dependent variables and factors influencing consumption. The relationships are estimated by the least-square method or time series methods.

In comparison, trend analysis can't be trustworthy; in this method we need a wise and knowing judge to recognize unreal date and omit them from previous information.

Up to this part we describe the old methods for long term load forecasting. They are also useful today. But the new following methods can use for their accuracy and fast possessing system. Special the new methods are used for different economical inputs in forecasting. By these new methods, we can have a model from the past data and correct its inaccurate date. After that we can predict the following peak load.

### 3 Artificial Intelligence Based Methods

#### 3.1 Artificial Neural Networks

Artificial neural networks (ANNs) have succeeded in several power system problems, such as planning, control, analysis, protection, design, load forecasting, security analysis, and fault diagnosis. The last three are the most popular. The ANNs ability in mapping complex non-linear relationships is responsible for the growing number of its application to load forecasting [8], [9]. Most of the ANNs have been applied to short-time load forecasting. Only a few studies are carried out for long-term load demand forecasting [10], [22], [24], [28].

In developing a long-term load forecast, the following are some of the degrees of freedom which must be iterated upon with the objective to increase the potential for an accurate load forecast: (1) fraction of the database that will be used for training and testing purpose, (2) transformations to be performed on the historical database, (3) ANNs architecture specifications, (4) optimal stopping point during ANNs training, and, (5) relative weights for use in forecast combination [8].

The design of neural network architecture involves decision making on type, size and number of neural being used [11].

The result of Output ANN is in (2).

$$Y_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i X_i$$

where $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, $X_i$ is input, and $W_i$ is weight of network and $Y_i$ is one of the ANN's outputs. The first question to be asked is if an ANN can learn to perform the desire application, and if so what would be the most suitable form of the network. In this section, various aspects of ANNs are analyzed to determine a suitable model. These aspects include the network architecture and method of training. There are three types which can be useful for long-term load demand forecasting: Recurrent neural network (RNN) for forecasting the peak load, feed-forward back propagation (FFBP) for forecasting the annual peak load [10] and radial basis function network (RBFN) for fasting training and better following the peaks and valleys [4].

1) **Recurrent neural network**: Recurrent neural network contains feedback connections, which enable them to encode temporal context internally. This feedback can be external or internal. RNN has been able to learn patterns from the past records and also to generalize and project the future load patterns for an unseen data [10]. We have different types of RNNs, such as Jordan RNN, Elman RNN and others. Feedback connections in these RNNs are different from network to network. For instance, Jordan RNN has feedback connections from output to input while the Elman RNN has feedback connections from its hidden layer neurons back to its inputs. Additional neurons in input layer, which accept these feedback connections, are called state or context neurons. The role of context neurons in RNN is to input from the upper layer, and after processing send their outputs to the hidden layer together with other plan units. In long-term load demand forecasting, there is strong relationship between the present and next year loads. For this type of problem, Jordan's model of RNN proved to be suitable. However, it should be noted that as the period of target forecast loads becomes longer, the forecast errors might increases relatively [10]. This is why the feed-forward back propagation is used for forecasting loads of longer than 1 year. The Jordan RNN used in most of case study is shown in Fig. 1.

2) **Feed-forward back propagation**: Feed-forward back propagation is one of is one of the most widely used neural network paradigms, which have been applied successfully in application studies. FFBP can be applied to any problem that requires pattern mapping. Given an input pattern, the network produces an associated output pattern. Its learning and update procedure is intuitively appealing, because it is based on
a relatively simple concept: the network is supplied with both a set of patterns to be learned and desired system response for each pattern. If the network gives the wrong answer, then the weights are corrected so that the error is lessened and as a result future responses of the network are more likely to be correct. The advantages of using such a network center on some of their properties, too. Firstly, they automatically generalize their knowledge enabling them to recognize patterns, which they have had seen. Secondly, they are robust enough to recognize patterns, which have been obscured by noise. Lastly, once they have been trained on the initial set of patterns, their recognition of similar patterns is accomplished very quickly [10]. There are two more advantages for FFBP. BP training is mathematically designed to minimize the mean square error across all training patterns and it has supervised training technique [10]. The FFBP used in most of case study is shown in Fig. 2.

3) Radial basis function network: A radial basis function network (RBFN) in most general terms is any network, which has an internal representation of hidden processing elements (pattern units), which are radically symmetric [4]. It consists of three layers; the input layer, hidden layer and output layer. The nodes within each layer are fully connected to the previous layer, as shown in Fig. 3.

For a hidden unit to be radically symmetric, it must have the following three constituents:

- A center, which is a vector in the input space and which is typically stored in the weight vector from the input layer to the pattern unit
- A distance measure, to determine how far an input vector is from the center. Typically, this is the standard Euclidean distance measure.
- A transfer function, which is a function of a single variable, and which determines the output of the processing elements by mapping the output of the distance function. A common function is a Gaussian function, which outputs stronger value when the distance is small. In the other word, the output of a pattern unit is a function of only the distance between an input vector and the stored center.
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![Fig. 2 Feed-forward back propagation neural networks.](image)

Fig. 2 Feed-forward back propagation neural networks.
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Fig. 3 Radial Basis Function Network.

The key to a successful implementation of these networks is to find suitable centers for the Gaussian function [10].

### 3.2 Wavelet Networks

This section investigates the application of wavelet packet in power load forecasting. Wavelet theory is introduced to power load forecasting recently and received wide attention. Comparing to traditional load forecasting methods, wavelet theory provides powerful and flexible tool to decompose load data into different frequency components, making it possible to analyze the characteristics of each component and improve forecasting accuracy. Wavelet packet analysis is the extension of wavelet analysis and it has better frequency resolution [12], [23].

Several papers investigated the application of wavelet analysis in power load forecasting, and the forecasting accuracy was improved. The wavelet network must have the following measurements to forecast well:

- Select proper wavelet function for load forecasting. The selection of wavelet function is important for wavelet application, but there is no general rule till now. Generally, the most important thing of power load forecasting is to
improve forecasting accuracy, so it requires less distortion during the wavelet decomposition and reconstruction process, which is different from other applications such as fault detection. Among various wavelet functions, the biorthogonal wavelet function is symmetrical and has linear phase, so it won’t bring signal distortion during the decomposition and reconstruction process. Therefore, the selection of biorthogonal wavelet for power load forecasting is suitable [12].

- Avoid border distortion during wavelet transform. Because of the limited number of data in wavelet applications, border distortion problem arises during the wavelet decomposition process, but users often ignore it except the method proposed in [13]. For load forecasting application with finite number of load data, border distortion means the wavelet coefficients of the latest data is distorted and the forecasting based on these coefficients couldn’t give accurate forecasting result. It is too bad to forecast without the help of the latest data. To deal with the problem, border extension is a simple and effective solution, and there are several types of border extension, such as symmetrical extension and zero padding. Although it is hard to give general guidelines for selecting proper extension method, symmetrical border extension is suitable for biorthogonal wavelet which is symmetrical.

The structure of the wavelet network is shown in Fig. 4.

This structure is very similar to Multi layer neural network.

The most advantage factor of wavelet network is not spanned inputs although the accuracy of model is better than multi layer neural networks. It has more advantages to apply to long-term forecast. The multi-resolution analysis capability of wavelet functions has much power in function approximation to obtain better accuracy [13].

A new method is the fuzzy rules and wavelet neural network model for long term load forecasting. The neural call function is basis of nonlinear wavelets. It overcomes the shortcoming of single train set of fuzzy rules. It can improve effectively the forecast accuracy and speed [26].

Another new method is the wavelet neural network model for long term load forecasting. The neural call function is basis of nonlinear wavelets. We overcome the shortcoming of single train set of ANN. It can be seen that this method can improve effectively the forecast accuracy and speed [30].

3.3 Genetic Algorithms

Managing electrical energy supply is a complex task. The most important part of electric utility resource planning is forecasting of the future load demand in the regional or national service area. This is usually achieved by constructing models on relative information, such as climate and previous load demand data. Genetic programming approach is proposed to forecast long term electrical power consumption. The empirical results demonstrate successful load forecast with a low error rate [19].

Genetic Algorithms (GAs) have recently received much attention as robust stochastic search algorithms for various problems. This class of methods is based on the mechanism of natural selection and natural genetics, which combines the notion of survival of the fittest, random and yet structured, search and parallel evaluation of the points in the search space. GAs have been successfully applied in various areas such as, load flow problems, fault detection, stability analysis, economic dispatch, power system control and load demand forecasting [14].

Considering the features of long term load forecasting are complicated, a generic neural network model that is able to adapt to and learn from amount of non-linear or imprecise rules, so it is a model with highly robustness. For avoiding the inflexibility of the generic neural network itself, many experiences and opinions of experts are introduced during the use, so that a comprehensive effect of different factors that influence the power load can be reflected. The generic algorithm is able to search precisely at global scope, and the neural network is able to fit well at local scope, both of which are chosen together [20].

Genetic algorithms are a numerical optimization technique. More specifically, they are parameter search procedures based upon the mechanics of natural genetics.

They combine a Darwinian survival-of-the-fittest strategy with a random, yet structured information exchange among a population of artificial “chromosomes”.

This technique has gained popularity in recent years as a robust optimization tool for a variety of problems in engineering, science, economics, finance, etc.

GAs accommodate all the facets of soft computing, namely uncertainty, imprecision, non-linearity, and robustness. Some of the attractive features can be summarized as following [14]:
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• Learning: GAs are the best known and widely used global search techniques with an ability to explore and exploit a given operating space using available performance (or learning) measures.

• Generic Code Structure: GA operates on an encoded parameter string and not directly on the parameters. This enables the user to treat any aspect of the problem as an optimizable variable.

• Optimality of the Solutions: In many problems, there is no guarantee of smoothness. Traditional search techniques often fail miserably on such search spaces. GA is known to be capable of finding near optimal solutions in complex search spaces.

• Advanced Operators: This includes techniques such as nicking (for discovering multiple solutions), combinations of Neural, Fuzzy, and chaos theory, and multiple-objective optimization.

The GAs approach presented in this work is employed to find the optimum values of the state vector X that minimizes the absolute summation of the forecasting error r(t). In order to emphasize the “best” string and speed up convergence of the iteration procedure, fitness is normalized into range between 0 and 1. The fitness function (ff) adopted is [14]:

$$ff = \frac{1}{1 + k \sum_{i=1}^{m} |r(t)|}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where k is a scaling constant (for example, k=0.0001)

Like other stochastic methods, the GA has a number of parameters that must be selected, size of population, probability of crossover and probability of mutation. r(t) is the error vector associated. GA tries to keep the r(t) in the allowed limitation. If the r(t) is kept in the allowed limitation, the fitness function has the best value for load demand forecasting [14].

Forecasting results using GA were found to be the best. This indicates that the GA approaches is quite promising and deserves serious attention because of its robustness and suitability for parallel implementation [14].

With r(t), we can calculate the load demand forecasting by the following equation:

$$P(t) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i t^i + r(t)$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

where P(t) is the peak load demand at time t, $a_0$, $a_i$ are the regression coefficients relating the load demand P(t) to the time t. r(t) is the residual load at year (t).

### 3.4 Support Vector Machine (SVM)

SVM (Support Vector Machine) is a useful technique for data classification. Even though people consider that it is easier to use than Neural Networks, however, users who are not familiar with SVM often get unsatisfactory results at first [15].

The support vector machines (SVMs) are based on the principle of structural risk minimization (SRM) rather than the principle of empirical risk minimization, which conducted by most of traditional neural network models. SVMs have been extended to solve nonlinear regression estimation problems [16]. Recurrent neural network (RNN) is one kind of SVM which is based on the main concept in which every unit is considered as an output of the network and the provision of adjusted information as input in a training process. RNNs are extensively applied in long-term load time series forecasting and can be classified in three types, Jordan networks, Elman networks, and Williams and Zipser networks. Both Jordan and Elman networks use mainly past information to capture detailed information. Williams and Zipser networks take much more information from the hidden layer and back into themselves. Therefore, Williams and Zipser networks are sensitive when models are implemented. Jordan and Elman networks are suited to time series forecasting. Traditionally, RNNs are trained by back-propagation algorithms. SVMs with genetic algorithms are used to determine the weights between nodes [16].

The basic concept of the SVM regression is to map nonlinearly the original data x into a higher dimensional feature space. Hence, given a set of data $G = \{(x_1, a_1), \ldots, (x_N, a_N)\}$ (where $x_i$ is the input vector, $a_i$ the actual value and N is the total number of data patterns), the SVM regression function is:

$$f(x) = w_1 \psi_1(x) + b$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\psi_1(x)$ is the feature of inputs, and both $w_1$ and b are coefficients. The coefficients ($w_1$ and b) are estimated by minimizing the following regularized risk function:

$$r(C) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{\varepsilon}(a_i, f_i) + \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

where,

$$\Gamma_{\varepsilon}(a, f) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \ |a - f| \leq \varepsilon \\ |a - f| - \varepsilon & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

and C and $\varepsilon$ are prespecified parameters. In (6), $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}(a, f)$ is called the $\varepsilon$-insensitive loss function. The loss equals zero if the forecasted value is within the $\varepsilon$-tube (7). The second term, $1/2 \|w\|^2$, measures the flatness of the function. Therefore, C is considered to specify the trade-off between the empirical risk and the model flatness. Both C and $\varepsilon$ are user-determined parameters.

The architecture of SVMs with genetic algorithm (SVMG) is shown in Fig. 5.

The superior performance of the RSVMG model has several causes. First, the RSVMG model has nonlinear
mapping capabilities and thus can more easily capture electricity load data patterns than can the ANN and regression models. Second, improper determining of these three parameters will cause either over-fitting or under-fitting of a SVM model. In this section, the Gas can determine suitable parameters to forecast electricity load. Third, the RSVG model performs structural risk minimization rather than minimizing the training errors. Minimizing the upper bound on the generalization error improves the generalization performance compared to the ANN and regression models.

3.5 Fuzzy Logic Model

Fuzzy control systems are rule-based systems in which a set of so-called fuzzy rules represents a control decision mechanism to adjust the effects of certain stimulus. The aim of fuzzy control systems is normally to replace a skilled human operator with a fuzzy rule-based system. The fuzzy logic model provides an algorithm, which can convert the linguistic strategy based on expert knowledge into an automatic strategy. Fig. 6 represents the basic configuration of a fuzzy logic system, which consists of a fuzzification, knowledge base, fuzzy interface and a defuzzification (IO). The fuzzy logic method is applied for scoring. The application of fuzzy rules will improve the model accuracy by avoiding arbitrariness for the purpose of the study. The fuzzy rule base is composed of some rules generated from the analysis of the historical load data [16], [21].

One of the applications of the fuzzy rules is to combine them with neural network to train ANN and have a better load demand forecasting. The training patterns for the ANN models were collected from the historical load data. The number of training cycles has been determined through a trial process, to avoid overtraining [16].

The benefit of the proposed hybrid structure was to utilize the advantages of both, i.e., the generalization capability of ANN and the ability of fuzzy inference for handling and formalizing the experience and knowledge of the forecasters. It has been demonstrated that the method give relatively accurate load forecasts for the actual data. The test results showed that this method of forecasting could provide a considerable improvement of the forecasting accuracy. This indicates that the fuzzy rules and the training patterns for the ANN is quite promising and deserve serious attention of its robustness and suitability for implementation. It can be concluded that the outcome of the study clearly indicates that the proposed composite model can be used as an attractive and effective means for the industrial load forecasting. The improvement of forecast accuracy and the adaptation to the change of customers would fulfill the forecasting needs [16]. Fig. 7 shows the structure of ANN and Fuzzy based used in forecasting.

We can also combine two different methods to achieve better result. These two methods can be ANN and Fuzzy control. Long term load forecasting of power system is affected by various uncertain factors. Using clustering method numerous relative factors can be synthesized for the forecasting model so that the accuracy of the load forecasting would be improved significantly. A clustering neural network consisting of logic operators is quoted, which can be used in long term load forecasting. Applying logic operators and in the fuzzy theory, the algorithm speed of the clustering network will be increased. Although competitive learning algorithm is used here for the network, it solves the dead unit problem and gives more room to select the initial values of the clustering center in the clustering analysis of the history data. The proposed model considers the influences of both history and future uncertain factors [25], [27], [31], [32].
3.6 Expert System

The confidence levels associated with classical forecasting techniques, when applied to forecasting problem in mature and stable utilities are unlikely to be similar to those of dynamic and fast growing utilities. This is attributed to the differences in the nature of growth, socio-economic conditions, occurrence of special events, extreme climatic conditions, and the competition in generation due to the deregulation of the electricity sector with possible changes in tariff structures. Under such conditions, these forecasting techniques are insufficient to establish demand forecast for long-term load demand. Consequently, this case requires separate consideration either by pursuing the search for more improvement in the existing forecasting techniques or establishing another approach to address the forecasting problem of such systems [17].

In this section, the classical forecasting methods are firstly applied to obtain the long-term load demand forecasts, for a typical fast growing utility as well as normal developing system [17].

A poor performance is observed when such methods are applied to fast developing system, whereas most of these models are valid when used to produce the forecasts of the normal developing system. Although the developed model gives an accurate load demand forecast compared with the classical models, it is hardly difficult to dependent on single method for producing the demand of such fast growing and dynamic system [17]. This is because several important factors related to the cyclic and dynamic events that contribute significantly to the system load are difficult to involve it into the existing forecasting models.

Thus, there is a need to develop a computational tool which allows one to store the knowledge associated with this problem along with the mathematical models to support the choice of the most suitable load forecasting model, for long-term power system planning. Therefore, the implementation of long-term forecasting strategies using a knowledge-based expert system (ES) is then presented in this section. In the expert system, key system variables which have major effects on system load are identified based on past planners experiences. A set of decision rules relating these variables are then established and stored in the knowledge base to select the recommended forecasting [17].

The main components of the proposed expert system are shown in Fig. 8.

With the knowledge base at hand (rules and facts), an inference engine can be used to search through this knowledge base according to the solution strategy. The detailed procedures of the solution strategy to ascertain the accuracy and credibility of selecting forecasting method. In addition to knowledge base, inference engine, solution strategy, a user interface is also developed in the expert system to facilitate the navigation between the expert system and the user.

The variables of the formulated problem can be grouped into Static and Dynamic Facts as follows:

Static Facts: This kind of knowledge is developed before starting the planning process. A sample of these facts is: system conditions to identify the current situation of the system, i.e., mature, or under developing, isolated or interconnected with other system, etc.

Forecasting horizon to define the load forecasting period, i.e., long-term.
- Load pattern to describe the load behavior (stable, or dynamic pattern, cyclic, or seasonal pattern, or combination of all, time of system peak, load types, etc.)
- Historical peak load to indicate annual and seasonal growth, coincidence factor, area peak, etc.
- Historical energy to describe the information related to number of consumers of each sector, consumption rate, tariff rate, etc.
- Major factors affecting the system peak, i.e., weather variables, economic variables, demographic variables, special event, suppressed demand, bulk loads to be connected into the network, coincidence factor of the system peak, etc.

Dynamic Facts: These facts are developed and automatically updated during the inference process to represent the planning attributes needed for evaluating a decision making process. Samples of these facts include the following:
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load and energy attribute for the estimated load and energy forecast;
• System losses attribute for the estimated system losses;
• Error attribute related to the forecasting model.

In this section, a long-term load forecasting is developed and classified according to the forecasting problem using a knowledge-based expert system (ES). The proposed methodology is applied successfully to forecast yearly peak load for normal and fast developing power systems. Since the expert system is very flexible in updating the forecasting methods and heuristic rules, it is expected that the expert system can serve as a valuable assistant to system planners in performing their annual load forecasting duties. Finally, it can be expected to serve as a valuable assistant also for training purposes [17].

4 Contrasting New Forecasting Methods

Recurrent neural network (RNN) has an ability to learn patterns from the past records and also to generalize and project the future load patterns for an unseen data. In this type, some additional neurons are available. Additional neurons in input layer, which accept these feedback connections, are called state or context neurons. The role of context neurons in RNN is to get inputs from the upper layer, and after processing send their outputs to the hidden layer together with other plan units.

In the other neural network method, feed-forward back propagation an input pattern is given, the network produces an associated output pattern. Its learning and update procedure is intuitively appealing, because it is based on a relatively simple concept: the network is supplied with both a set of patterns to be learned and desired system response for each pattern. This method is much better than the RNN method. Because if the network gives the wrong answer, then the weights are corrected so that the error is lessened and as a result future responses of the network are more likely to be correct. It can have a trustworthy result.

Another method for long term load forecasting is Wavelet Network. The most advantage factor of wavelet network is not spanned inputs although the accuracy of model is better than multi layer neural networks. This is one reason which can be ended up in this choice. It has more advantages to apply to long-term forecast. The multi-resolution analysis capability of wavelet functions has much power in function approximation to obtain better accuracy. This accuracy can make a better result in future forecasting.

For one method, we can call Genetic Algorithm for long-term load demand forecasting. Genetic algorithms are a numerical optimization technique. More specifically, they are parameter search procedures based upon the mechanics of natural genetics. Forecasting results using GA were found to be the best. This indicates that the GA approaches is quite promising and deserves serious attention because of its robustness and suitability for parallel implementation.

Other most commonly method is SVM. This method is much more comparable with ANN. First, the RSVMG model has nonlinear mapping capabilities and thus can more easily capture electricity load data patterns than can the ANN and regression models. Second, improper determining of these three parameters will cause either over-fitting or under-fitting of a SVM model. Third, the RSVMG model performs structural risk minimization rather than minimizing the training errors.

Fuzzy system as another method is normally to replace a skilled human operator with a fuzzy rule-based system. One of the applications of the fuzzy rules is to combine them with neural network to train ANN and have a better load demand forecasting.

In expert system, we can use traditional methods to forecast the peak load forecasting. The expert system is very flexible in updating the forecasting methods and heuristic rules, it is expected that the expert system can serve as a valuable assistant to system planners in performing their annual load forecasting duties.

5 Conclusions

Load forecasting plays a dominant part in the economic optimization and secure operation of electric power systems.

Long-term load forecasting represents the first step in developing future generation, transmission, and distribution facilities. Any substantial deviation in the forecast, particularly under the new market structure, will result in either overbuilding of supply facilities, or curtailment of customer demand. The confidence levels associated with classical forecasting techniques, when applied to forecasting problem in mature and stable utilities are unlikely to be similar to those of dynamic and fast growing utilities. This is attributed to the differences in the nature of growth, socio-economic conditions, occurrence of special events, extreme climatic conditions, and the competition in generation due to the deregulation of the electricity sector with possible changes in tariff structures. Under such conditions, these forecasting techniques are insufficient to establish demand forecast for long-term power system planning. Consequently, this case requires separate consideration either by pursuing the search for more improvement in the existing forecasting techniques or establishing another approach to address the forecasting problem of such systems.

Different methods of long-term load demand forecasting are defined in this paper. All of these methods can forecast the load of the power system, but the amount of previous data and such variables which they need to forecast, make them different in accuracy from area to area.

Finally, for long-term load forecasting, we should know the power system in details, and after that we can select the best method for the specified power system.
Sometimes we can combine different methods and compare the accuracy of them together.

Traditional methods, such as time series, regression models and etc. are used in most of the countries, because of their reliable result.

Neural networks can solve nonlinear problems, and because of nonlinear behavior of load, so they can be useful for long-term load forecasting.

Genetic algorithm can forecast long-term load forecasting, when we have a lot amount of different variables and we want to find the best solution to follow the future load. Also it can be useful to estimate the support vector machine parameters.

Wavelet can estimate peak and valley of load behavior better than Furious series. It can combine with ANN have a better forecast.
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