Design of a Permanent-Magnet Synchronous Generator for a 2 MW Gearless Horizontal-Axis Wind Turbine According to its Capability Curves


Abstract: Permanent-Magnet Synchronous Generators (PMSGs) exhibit high efficiency and power density, and have already been employed in gearless wind turbines. In the gearless wind turbines, due to the removal of the gearbox, the cogging torque is an important issue. Therefore, in this paper, at first, design of a Permanent-Magnet Synchronous Generator for a 2MW gearless horizontal-axis wind turbine, according to torque-speed and capability curves, is presented. For estimation of cogging torque in PMSGs, an analytical method is used. Performance and accuracy of this method is compared with the results of Finite Element Method (FEM). Considering the effect of dominant design parameters, cogging torque is efficiently reduced.
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1 Introduction

Energy crisis and environmental pollution caused by fossil fuel, have led many countries to make effective use of wind energy for electric power generation. The horizontal-axis wind turbines due to great heights from the ground level are good variants to generate electricity at high power levels [1].

Generators used in wind turbines are divided into two types of constant-speed and variable-speed. Until the late 1990s, most wind turbines were built for constant-speed applications. The power level in these generators is below 1.5 MW and a multi-stage gearbox-to maintain a constant speed-is often used. Nowadays most wind turbine manufacturers are building variable-speed wind turbines for power levels from approximately 1.5 to 5 MW [2]. Recently, PMSGs, due to high-efficiency and power density, have been greatly considered to produce electric power at different levels [3].

In wind turbines, in order to increase rotational speed of the shaft, gearboxes are used. But due to mechanical, repairing and noise problems, they are now removed and so called direct-drive generators are announced [4]. In this case, in order to compensate the lack of high rotational speed of the rotor, by removing the gearbox, the number of poles in generator should be increased.

One of the disadvantages of the direct-driven generators is the cogging torque that is the main part of the torque pulsations. The cogging torque is the interaction between the Permanent-Magnets (PMs) and the stator slots [5]. Due to the direct connection of the wind turbine and generator shaft, torque pulsation has an important concern and has direct impact on the efficient use of the wind power. Therefore less torque ripple, developed by the system, reduces the mechanical stress and lowers the maintenance cost. The cogging torque calculation is discussed in many technical papers. In [6], neglecting the motor’s curvature, an analytical method is used for this purpose. In [7-8] an analytical method is used to optimize small motors; however, it has not a suitable prediction in large machines. Five different methods for calculating the cogging torque is comprised in [9].

In this paper, according to the speed-torque and capability curves, the area of operations to generate constant power for the generator is selected. The generator design is done in this interval, then, using an analytical method, the generator cogging torque is predicted and the results are compared with FEM. With considering the effect of design parameters, cogging torque is reduced considerably.
The torque transmission equation in a turbine-generator system is considered as follows [10]:

$$T = T_s + J \frac{d}{dt}(\omega_m) + D\omega_m$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In which $T_s$ is the generator torque; $J$ is the inertia of the shaft of turbine, generator and the blades; and $D$ is the equivalent damping factor.

Generator design should take place based on the maximum power output of the turbine in steady-state operation. In this case, the variation of speed should be considered zero.

In order to achieve the equilibrium in the system for the steady-state operation, the variations of generator’s torque to speed should be greater than the variations of turbine’s torque with respect to the speed. In other words, the stability of whole wind energy conversion system (WECS) is satisfied whenever:

$$\left(\frac{dT_s}{d\omega_m} - \frac{dT}{d\omega_m}\right) > 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $T_s$ is the generator’s torque; $T$ is the turbine’s torque; and $\omega_m$ is the mechanical rotational speed.

As it is understandable from the above equations, the power transmission can occur in an area of the torque curves that the slope of the turbine’s torque curve is negative and the generator’s torque curve is positive. In Fig. 2 the torque curves of generator and turbine are illustrated.

As can be seen from Fig. 2, the operational area for the transmission of power from the turbine to the generator is in the speeds higher than the speed of maximum torque.

3 Design of the Electrical Generator

The low-carbon steel and silicon-steel laminations are used in the rotor and stator of PMSGs. The stator slots have semi-closed shapes.

**Table 1** The main characteristics of the wind turbine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rated Power (kW)</td>
<td>2050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor Diameter (m)</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut-in (m/s)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut-out (m/s)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotational Speed (rpm)</td>
<td>([7.8-23]\pm12.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The magnets are providing the air-gap flux density to generate power and are mounted on the exterior surface of the rotor. Among the two rare-earth permanent-magnets (NdFeB, SmCo), the NdFeB is preferred; for it is cheaper and is already more available [12]. The primary parameters that affect a PM machine’s dimensions are the air-gap length and the magnet height. In [13], based on analytical and practical process, different methods for estimating the proper air-gap length are presented. These two parameters play a major role in determining the air-gap magnetic field, the air-gap flux density and the induced voltage in the machine.

### 3.1 Airgap Flux Density

Airgap flux density is determined according to saturation level of the stator. If the air-gap flux density is high enough to saturate the stator’s core material, it will reduce the machine’s performance. Therefore a balance must be established between magnetic circuit saturation and power absorption capability. For the considered generators, the flux density in the airgap considered as 0.8 T. The fundamental component of the airgap flux density distribution is determined according to [14]:

\[
B_{1\text{peak}} = \frac{4 \sin\left(\frac{\alpha_{ym} \pi}{2}\right) B_m}{\pi} \tag{5}
\]

where \(\alpha_{ym}\) is the pole-arc to pole-pitch ratio and \(B_m\) is the maximum air-gap flux density.

### 3.2 Main Dimensions of the Generator

The first step to obtain the dimensions of the permanent-magnet surface-mounted generator is to choose appropriate tangential stress (\(\sigma_{\text{tang}}\)). The tangential stress is a factor that produces torque in these machines. The tangential stress depends on linear current density and flux density. The torque equation is expressed as [14]:

\[
T = \sigma_{\text{tang}} \pi D_r^2 l' \tag{6}
\]

The diameter-to-length ratio in the generator is described as:

\[
\frac{D_r}{l'} = \chi \tag{7}
\]

where \(D_r\) is the rotor diameter and \(l'\) is the rotor equivalent length.

### 3.3 Stator and Rotor Yokes

Stator and rotor yokes provide a path for the flux to be circulated in the machine. The height of the stator and rotor yokes depends on the saturation level of the core. The efficiency of the machine can be deteriorated by choosing the yoke very small, on the other hand, the yoke cannot be selected over a specific size due to the machine’s oversize. By selecting the maximum valid value for the flux density, the height of the stator and rotor yokes can be calculated as follows:

\[
h_y = \frac{\phi_{\text{y}}}{2k_y(1-n_k h_y)B_{y}} \tag{8}
\]

\[
h_r = \frac{\phi_{\text{r}}}{2k_r(1-n_k h_r)B_{r}} \tag{9}
\]

In which \(\phi_{\text{y}}\) is magnetic flux, \(B_{y}\) is rotor yoke flux density, \(B_{r}\) is stator yoke flux density, \(b_r\) is width of the ventilation ducts, \(n_k\) is number of the ventilation ducts and \(k_{\text{fr}}\) is the space factor for lamination.

### 3.4 Equivalent Magnetic Voltage

Pass of magnetic flux into different parts of machine causes an equivalent magnetic voltage drop to be created, that is called magnetic voltage. The magnetic voltage in different parts of the machine depends on the magnetic resistance (reluctance) of that part. The main relation of the magnetic voltage in magnetic circuits is as follows:

\[
U_m = \oint \frac{H}{\mu} \, dl \tag{10}
\]

where \(H\) is the magnetic field and \(l\) is the path’s length.

The airgap has the highest magnetic voltage drop in the machine due to high magnetic resistance in the region. This can be defined as follows:

\[
U_{\text{wdy}} = \frac{B_{\text{max}}}{\pi} \delta_y \tag{11}
\]

where \(\mu_0\) is the permeability of air and \(\delta_y\) is the effective air-gap.

The product of the magnetic field strength of the stator teeth and its height results in teeth’s magnetic voltage, which is defined as:

\[
U_{\text{wd}} = H_d h_y \tag{12}
\]

Because of the nonlinearity between magnetic flux density and magnetic field strength, it results in nonlinear magnetic voltage in stator and rotor yoke, as for a coefficient \(c\) is used to determine the influence of the maximum magnetic flux density in the yoke. The magnetic voltages are as follows:

\[
\bar{U}_{\text{myy}} = c \bar{H}_{yz} r_{yz} \tag{13}
\]

\[
\bar{U}_{\text{myr}} = c \bar{H}_{yr} r_{yr} \tag{14}
\]

In which \(\bar{H}\) is the field strengths corresponding to the highest flux density and \(r\) is the length of the pole-pitch in the middle of the yoke.

### 3.5 Magnets Dimensions

The produced magnetic voltage in all parts of the machine is resulted from the flux of the magnets. In other words it is the magnet’s flux that produces the
magnetic voltage. An approximation to calculate this parameter is as:

$$\bar{U}_{\text{sm}} = H_h \mu_{\text{PM}}$$ (15)

With the expansion of the above relations, permanent-magnet height is calculated as follows:

$$h_{\text{PM}} = \frac{2m}{4p} \left[\frac{\pi}{2} \sin\left(n \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{n \pi y_p}{2m}\right) \sin\left(\frac{S_{wp} \pi}{2 \tau_p}\right)\right]$$

3.6 Winding Factor

Generator’s windings are distributed on the stator-inner surface to produce a sinusoidal voltage. Thus, the flux, penetrating the winding, does not intersect all windings simultaneously, and there is a certain time difference in the flux passing through the windings. This phenomenon in the induced voltage is defined by a coefficient which is called distribution factor. The Electromotive Force (EMF) in the machine depends on the number of winding turns in addition to the winding factor that is dependent to each harmonic and air-gap flux. The EMF is defined as:

$$E_{\text{pm}(n)} = \omega_s \phi_n \tau_s \mu_n N$$ (17)

where $\omega_s$ is the synchronous rotational speed; $\phi_n$ is the magnetic flux; $k_{\text{w}}$ is the winding factor; and $N$ is the number of turns per path.

The winding factor consists of distribution factor, pitch factor and skewing factor. The relation of the winding factor is defined as follows [15]:

$$K_{\text{w}}(n) = \frac{2 \sin(n \frac{\pi}{2} \tau_p \phi)}{q \sin(n \frac{\tau}{s} \frac{B_{\text{ys}}} {m} \frac{S_{wp} \pi}{2 \tau_p}}$$ (18)

where $m$ is the number of phases; $w_p$ is a constant coefficient equal to 0.83; $S_{wp}$ is the pitch of pole-pair; $q$, is the number of slots per pole per phase; and, $\tau_p$, is the pole-pitch.

The values of the winding factor harmonics for this generator are illustrated in Fig. 3.

![Fig. 3 Winding factor harmonics for q=1.6.](image)

3.7 Magnetizing Inductances

Due to similar values of permeability for air and permanent-magnet, the d- and q-axis inductances in PMSG have approximately the same values. The magnetizing inductance for an m-phase PMSM with distributed winding is defined as:

$$L_{\text{mag}} = L_{\text{mag}} = \frac{m}{2} \left( \frac{\mu_{\text{PM}} A f}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tau_p}{\pi} \mu_n \right)^2$$ (19)

The d- and q-axis inductances are obtained from the leakage and magnetizing inductances. The leakage inductances in the electrical machines include the air-gap leakage inductance, slot leakage inductance, tooth tip leakage inductance, and end-winding leakage inductance [14].

3.8 The Losses of the Generator

The losses in PMSM can be divided into several categories which are: stator Joules losses, mechanical losses, stray losses and iron losses. The iron losses are approximately proportional to the square of the yoke flux density and mass of the yoke. In addition, iron loss depends on the electrical frequency of the generator. The maximum frequency is proportional to the rotational speed so that increasing the rotational speed, causes the iron loss to be increased. An approximation to calculate the iron losses is as:

$$P_{\text{iron}} = k_{\text{Fe}} P_{15} \left( \frac{B_{\text{ys}}} {m} \frac{S_{wp} \pi}{2 \tau_p} \frac{f}{50} \right)^2$$ (20)

where $f$ is the frequency, $k_{\text{Fe}}$ is the correction factor, $m$, is the mass of yokes, $B_{\text{ys}}$ is the yoke magnetic flux density and $P_{15}$ is the loss correction factor.

3.9 The Torque-Load Angle Representation

The graph of the torque against the load angle is very important in characterizing the electrical machine. Whenever the inductances and electromotive forces are known, the torque relation with respect to load angle can be predicted. The torque equation against the load angle in the PMSMs is expressed as [16]:

$$T_e = \frac{m \pi}{\omega_s} \left[ \frac{E_{\text{em}} U}{L_{\text{rad}} + L_{\text{mag}}} \sin \delta \right]$$ (21)

where $L_{\text{rad}}$ is the total leakage inductance and $\delta$ is the load angle.

Due to expected $L_{\text{rad}}$, the maximum torque is achieved with load angle equal to 90°. Fig. 4 shows the torque curve plotted for this designed generator for a 288-slot- and 60-pole combination.

In Fig. 4 the dashed line is achieved from Eq. (21) results, and the continuous curve is obtained from the FEM results. In Eq. (21) the d- and q-axis inductances are considered the same, but in the FEM, these values are closer to their actual values, thus there are a bit different with comparison to the values obtained from Eq. (21). Due to this, a slight difference can be seen in the curves.
Fig. 4 Electrical torque versus load angle.

Table 2 Electrical characteristics of the generator.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quadrature axis voltage (V)</td>
<td>$V_{qr}$</td>
<td>548.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct axis voltage (V)</td>
<td>$V_{ds}$</td>
<td>357.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct axis current (A)</td>
<td>$I_{dr}$</td>
<td>1465.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadrature axis current (A)</td>
<td>$I_{qr}$</td>
<td>1225.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line voltage (V)</td>
<td>$V_{LL}$</td>
<td>660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchronous inductance (mH)</td>
<td>$L_s$</td>
<td>0.712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor speed (rpm)</td>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Dimensional characteristics of the designed generator.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of poles</td>
<td>$2p$</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of slots</td>
<td>$q$</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The outer diameter of the stator (mm)</td>
<td>$D_o$</td>
<td>3890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The outer diameter of the rotor (mm)</td>
<td>$D_i$</td>
<td>3470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective length (mm)</td>
<td>$l'$</td>
<td>1293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator and rotor yoke height (mm)</td>
<td>$h_y$</td>
<td>730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnet thickness (mm)</td>
<td>$h_{pm}$</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-gap length (mm)</td>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 FEM Analysis

The 2 MW PMSG was designed and main characteristics and important dimensions are presented in Tables 2 and 3.

The finite element method was used to analysis the preliminary design in order to investigate the performance of the generator. The software uses virtual work method for calculation of the torque. By time-stepping finite element simulation, torque is computed at no-load (cogging torque) and full-load (with the existence of the stator currents). Fig. 5 shows the generator prototype topology and magnetic equipotential lines at the full-load condition. As can be seen in this situation, the maximum magnetic flux density occurs in the stator teeth. The phase-to-ground waveforms of the EMF voltages of the designed generator are shown in Fig. 6.

The output torque of the generator for a period of constant wind speed in its optimum value is shown in Fig. 7. The electromagnetic torque in a PMSG consists of three parts. The main part is the interactive torque between the field of the magnets and that of the stator windings. The other parts are ripple and the cogging torques. The reluctance torque is developed by the saliency of the rotor and the air-gap reluctance variations.

The cogging torque in PMSG is developed by the interaction between the magnetic field of the rotor magnets and the stator slots. The torque pulsation is defined as the sum of the ripple and the cogging torque.

Fig. 5 Magnetic flux lines in full-load condition.

Fig. 6 Generator EMF phase voltages.
5 Cogging Torque

In wind turbines reducing the torque pulsations in generator, results in an optimized usage of wind energy. In other words less torque pulsation in the generator will result in reducing the mechanical stress and higher efficiency of the system. The cogging torque is one of the inherent characteristics of PMSGs. In order to benefit more from wind energy, it is necessary to decrease this torque component as much as possible. The cogging torque is created due to the variations in the energy in the air-gap when a magnet passes through the slot openings.

In this section an analytical method that is based on energy conversion in air-gap is used to calculate the cogging torque. This method is accurate enough and is based on energy conversion method [9]. In this method, the following assumptions are considered:

- Neglecting the energy variations in the core.
- Considering the leakage flux in stator slots.
- Considering the inter-pole magnet leakage in PM model.
- Considering the curvature in PM and slot models.

By calculating the derivative of the air-gap field energy with respect to the rotational angle of the magnets, the cogging torque is obtained as follows:

$$ T_{\text{avg}}(a) = \frac{d W_{\text{avg}}}{da} = \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left[ \frac{1}{\mu_r} \int_0^{\pi} G^2(\theta)B^2(\theta,a)d\theta \right] $$

(22)

where $a$ is the position angle of the rotor, $W_{\text{avg}}$ is the magnetic energy in the air-gap, $\theta$ is the angle along the inside circumference of the stator, $G(\theta)$ is the air-gap relative permeance, and $B(\theta,a)$ is the air-gap flux density. The air-gap relative permeance is as follows [9]:

$$ G(\theta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \theta \in [-\pi/Q, -\pi/Q - h_0/2], [-h_0/2, \pi/Q] \cr \frac{h_0 + g_C}{\mu_r} & \theta \in [h_0/2, \pi/Q] \cr \frac{h_0 + g_C}{\mu_r} \frac{h_0 + [g - R + (\pi R_C/2)] \cos \frac{h_0}{2} - \mu_r h_0}{\mu_r} & \theta \in [-h_0/2, h_0/2] \end{cases} $$

(23)

where $h_0$ is the slot opening, $\mu_r$ is the magnet relative recoil permeability and $C_g$ is considered as [9]:

$$ C_g = \frac{R_s - g - h_m/2}{R_s - g/2} $$

(24)

The values for $B_{\text{avg}}$ and $G_{\text{avg}}$ are as follows [9]:

$$ B_{\text{avg}} = \frac{2p}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} B^2(\theta)d\theta $$

(25)

$$ G_{\text{avg}} = \frac{Q}{\pi} \int_{-h_0/2}^{h_0/2} G^2(\theta)d\theta $$

(26)

The air-gap flux density in an equivalent slots-less machine and is defined as follows [9]:

$$ B(\theta) = \frac{4pB}{\mu_r} \sin \left( \frac{i \pi a}{2} \right) \cos \left( \frac{ip}{2} \theta \right) \left( \frac{R_m}{R_s} \right)^{\frac{\pi N_p}{2}} \times $$

$$ \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{R_s}{R_g} \right)^{\pi \nu} - \left( \frac{ip}{2} + 1 \right) \left( \frac{R_m}{R_s} \right)^{\nu + 1} \right] $$

(27)

5.1 Comparing the Results of the Analytical Method and Finite Element Method

In this section the results for the air-gap flux density and cogging torque obtained by the analytical method and finite element method are compared. The results of both methods are shown in Figs. 8 and 9, respectively.

From Fig. 8, it can be seen that the amplitude of the airgap flux density, obtained from FEM, is slightly greater than that of the analytical method.
Fig. 9 Comparison of the cogging torque obtained by the two mentioned methods.

Fig. 9 shows the difference of cogging torque between the results from the analytical method and the FEM. It can be seen that both curves are approximately close to each other. The difference being seen in both Figs. 8 and 9, can be expressed by neglecting the energy variations in both magnets and core, ignoring the tangential component of the flux density and finally considering an inappropriate model for the flux leakage and slot effects. The analytical method results an acceptable prediction for the cogging torque and can be used for sensitivity analysis and optimization of cogging torque effective parameters.

5.2 Sensitivity Analysis of Effective Parameters on Cogging Torque

In this section the effects of peak cogging torque versus \( h_{m}, a_{pm}, g \) are investigated. In Fig. 10, the variation of the peak cogging torque with respects to \( a_{pm} \) is shown in part (a). Part (b) is assigned to illustrate the peak cogging torque versus air-gap diameter and peak cogging torque versus magnet thickness are illustrated in part (c).

The magnetic flux density is amplified by increasing the magnets height which consequently increases the cogging torque. On the other hand, by reducing the magnets height too much, the flux density drops and arises problems like reducing the Back-EMF voltage amplitude. Thus, a trade-off should be considered meanwhile choosing this parameter.

One of the outcomes in airgap diameter reduction in the machine is the increase of total efficiency and vice versa. But it has drawbacks like cogging torque growth and mechanical limitations. Thus again a trade-off should be considered as well.

Due to the effects of mentioned parameters on the cogging torque, a new set of parameters have been chosen to redesign the generator. This parameters are shown in Table 4.

![Diagram](https://example.com/diagram.png)

**Table 4** Improved design parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>Improved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( a_{pm} ) (rad)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_{m} ) (mm)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( g ) (mm)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As shown in Fig. 11, in the preliminary design, the amplitude of cogging torque was nearly 6100 Nm and in the new improved design, this value is reduced to 2000 Nm which is about 67% reduction.

6 Conclusion
In this paper, the principle relations to describe the behavior of a wind turbine are discussed. Then, the torque-speed curves of a practical wind turbine and an exemplary curve for the generator were intercepted. The interception was in the practical power transmission intervals. An optimum loading point to design a 2 MW permanent-magnet synchronous generator is chosen. Throughout the stage of designing, some important parameters such as main dimensions, magnet dimensions, winding factor and core losses are discussed. The torque versus load-angle of the generator is obtained by two methods. An analytical method is used to calculate the cogging torque. The results are compared by that of the finite element method, are approximately alike. Finally, changes in some parameters are studied. The sensitivity of cogging torque to these parameters is illustrated. By considering the new values for some of the parameters, the cogging torque amplitude is also effectively reduced.
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