

# Frequency Estimation of Unbalanced Three-Phase Power System Using a new LMS Algorithm

H. Zayyani<sup>1\*</sup>, S. M. Dehghan<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

This paper presents a simple and easy implementable Least Mean Square (LMS) type approach for frequency estimation of three phase power system in an unbalanced condition. The proposed LMS type algorithm is based on a second order recursion for the complex voltage derived from Clarke's transformation which is proved in the paper. The proposed algorithm is real adaptive filter with real parameter (not complex) which can be efficiently implemented by DSP. In unbalanced situations, simulation experiments show the advantages and drawbacks of the proposed algorithm in comparison to Complex LMS (CLMS) and Augmented Complex LMS (ACLMS) methods.

*Index Terms*-Frequency estimation, LMS algorithm, adaptive filter, power systems.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Signal processing is a promising tool for next generation of power grids and also power systems [1],[2]. Frequency is an important parameter of a power system in monitoring, control and security applications. Besides, accurate and online frequency estimation in a power system is a prerequisite for the future smart grid where the generation, load and topology will be dynamically updated [3]. In addition, unexpected frequency variation from nominal value indicates an emergency situation where quick response should be taken into account. So, fast and accurate frequency estimation is necessary in such conditions.

Various algorithms have been proposed for frequency estimation in power systems. Traditionally, zero crossing information was used for frequency estimation [4]. Because of the degradation

<sup>1</sup>Electrical and computer engineering department, Qom university of technology, Qom, Iran.

First author: Hadi Zayyani, email: zayyani2009@gmail.com, Tel: +98 912 5590285.

Second author: Seyed Mohammad Dehghan Dehnavi, email: dehghansm@yahoo.com, Tel: +98 912 4430570.

of zero crossings by noise and other harmonic distortions, other techniques have been proposed. In [20], a demodulation technique was presented. In addition, Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) based algorithms were suggested to solve the problem [25],[7],[8]. Phase-Locked-Loop (PLL) based algorithms were also used in the literature [21],[22]. Moreover, Kalman filtering approaches were successfully applied [11],[12],[23],[24],[19]. In [5], an adaptive notch filter was proposed for frequency estimation of a single phase and then this method was extended to three-phase power systems [15]. A simple Weighted Least Square (WLS) recursive algorithm was suggested in [6] for single phase cases and again was extended to three-phase systems in [16]. Also, a simple Complex Least Mean Square (CLMS) adaptive filter was introduced in [13]. Recently, the CLMS was extended to an Augmented CLMS based on the concept of widely linear modeling and augmented complex statistics [17]. Moreover, an iterative Minimum Variance Distortionless Response (MVDR) algorithm was proposed in [14] and then an Augmented MVDR approach was suggested recently [18].

Among various algorithms for frequency estimation, some exploit the frequency from single phase (e.g., refer to [4]-[11]), while others extract the frequency from all three phases because of more robustness (e.g., refer to [12]-[19]). Since using all phases, it provides a more robust algorithm specially when phases undergo some abnormal conditions. Usually, the Clark's transformation is used to convert the three phase signals to a single complex signal. Moreover, recently, unbalanced power systems have gained more attention [17],[18],[19],[26],[27]. This paper proposes an simple and easy-implementable adaptive LMS algorithm for power system frequency estimation based on all three phases in unbalanced condition where the three phases are not exactly the same and specially their amplitudes are different. In this case, the complex signal derived from Clark's transformation has a recursion different to what an LMS technique is based on. According to this recursion, an LMS algorithm is suggested which uses two consecutive samples of the complex signal instead of a single value. Fortunately, the coefficients of this recursion is real and so the proposed overall LMS algorithm is a real adaptive filter and is computationally efficient specially for applying on DSP's.

This paper is organized as follows. In section II, the preliminaries such as Clark's transformation and the problem is introduced. Section III presents an overview of the CLMS and ACLMS algorithms. Then, in section IV, at first a recursion will be proved for the complex signal derived from Clark's transformation. Secondly, the proposed LMS algorithm are presented

based on this recursion. In section V, to explain the suitability of the proposed algorithm, several experiments were conducted in unbalanced cases and with different conditions and the advantage and disadvantages of the proposed algorithm in comparison to its counterparts i.e. CLMS and ACLMS algorithms are illustrated. Finally, paper concludes in section VI.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

The voltages in a three-phase power system can be represented in a discrete time form as

$$\begin{aligned} v_a(k) &= V_a(k) \cos(\omega k \Delta T + \varphi) + n_a \\ v_b(k) &= V_b(k) \cos(\omega k \Delta T + \varphi - \frac{2\pi}{3}) + n_b \\ v_c(k) &= V_c(k) \cos(\omega k \Delta T + \varphi + \frac{2\pi}{3}) + n_c \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $V_i(k)$  is the peak value of  $i$ 'th voltage phase at time instant  $k$ ,  $n_i$  is the noise of  $i$ 'th voltage phase,  $\Delta T = \frac{1}{f_s}$  is the sampling interval with  $f_s$  being the sampling frequency,  $\varphi$  is the initial phase of fundamental component, and  $\omega = 2\pi f$  is the angular frequency with  $f$  being the system frequency. The noises are assumed to be independent white Gaussian with variances  $\sigma_n^2$ . The Clark's transform convert the three phases ( $v_a$ ,  $v_b$  and  $v_c$ ) to a zero-sequence component  $v_0$  and direct and quadrature components  $v_\alpha$  and  $v_\beta$  as the form of [28]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_0(k) \\ v_\alpha(k) \\ v_\beta(k) \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_a(k) \\ v_b(k) \\ v_c(k) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

where the factor  $\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$  is used to ensure that the system is invariant under this transformation. In balanced condition where  $V_a(k) = V_b(k) = V_c(k)$ , we have  $v_0(k) = 0$ ,  $v_\alpha(k) = A \cos(\omega k \Delta T + \varphi)$  and  $v_\beta(k) = A \cos(\omega k \Delta T + \varphi + \frac{\pi}{2})$  where  $v_\alpha$  and  $v_\beta$  are orthogonal components. Thus, a complex voltage signal is defined as:

$$v(k) = v_\alpha(k) + jv_\beta(k) \quad (3)$$

where in practice, this complex signal was extensively used for frequency estimation in three-phase power systems [20],[12],[16],[15],[13]. Naturally, the harmonics that are mainly zero-sequence  $v_0(k)$  are blocked by this transformation [20]. Thus, the zero-sequence was discarded in all these references and we did the same.

In general, it has been shown that the complex voltage can be written in the form [17]:

$$v(k) = A(k)e^{j(\omega k \Delta T + \varphi)} + B(k)e^{-j(\omega k \Delta T + \varphi)} \quad (4)$$

where

$$A(k) = \frac{\sqrt{6}(V_a(k) + V_b(k) + V_c(k))}{6} \quad (5)$$

$$B(k) = \frac{\sqrt{6}(2V_a(k) - V_b(k) - V_c(k))}{12} - \frac{\sqrt{2}(V_b(k) - V_c(k))}{4} \quad (6)$$

In other words, when  $V_a(k)$ ,  $V_b(k)$ , and  $V_c(k)$  are not identical, we have a clockwise and a counterclockwise rotating complex vector resulting a certain degree of non circularity [17]. When  $V_a(k)$ ,  $V_b(k)$ , and  $V_c(k)$  are identical, we have  $B(k) = 0$ . Thus, we have the following recursion:

$$\begin{aligned} v(k+1) &= A(k+1)e^{j(\omega(k+1)\Delta T + \varphi)} \\ &= v(k)e^{j(\omega\Delta T)} = v(k)w(k) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $w(k) \triangleq e^{j(\omega\Delta T)}$  and the assumption  $A(k+1) = A(k)$  is used.

### III. CLMS AND ACLMS ALGORITHMS

Based on the (7), the CLMS algorithm was proposed for frequency estimation and summarized as [13],[17]:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{v}(k+1) &= v(k)w(k) \\ e(k) &= v(k+1) - \hat{v}(k+1) \\ w(k+1) &= w(k) + \mu e(k)v^*(k) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $w(k) = e^{j(\omega_k \Delta T)}$  is the weight coefficient at time instant  $k$ ,  $\mu$  is the step size,  $\hat{v}(k+1)$  is the estimate of the desired signal  $v(k+1)$  and  $e(k)$  is the estimation error. Also, the system frequency can be estimated as

$$\hat{f}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi\Delta T} \sin^{-1}(\text{Im}(w(k))) \quad (9)$$

Unfortunately, in unbalanced condition, the recursion (7) does not hold and the CLMS algorithm start to break down. In this case, the complex signal  $v(k)$  is exactly expressed as in (4).

In ACLMS algorithm [17], it is suggested to estimate the complex signal from both  $v(k)$  and its complex conjugate  $v^*(k)$ . Therefore, we have:

$$\hat{v}(k+1) = v(k)h(k) + v^*(k)g(k) \quad (10)$$

where  $h(k)$  and  $g(k)$  are the filter weight coefficients corresponding to the standard and conjugate updates at time instant  $k$ , respectively [17]. The estimation error  $e(k)$  and cost function  $J(k)$  is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} e(k) &= v(k+1) - \hat{v}(k+1) \\ J(k) &= |e(k)|^2 = e(k)e^*(k) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where the update of weight coefficients  $h(k)$  and  $g(k)$  is based on this cost function and is obtained by an steepest descent recursion in the following form:

$$h(k+1) = h(k) - \mu \nabla_h J(k) \quad (12)$$

$$g(k+1) = g(k) - \mu \nabla_g J(k) \quad (13)$$

where  $\nabla_h J(k)$  and  $\nabla_g J(k)$  are the gradients of the cost function with respect to weight coefficients. The final recursion for updating the coefficients are [17]:

$$h(k+1) = h(k) + \mu e(k)v^*(k) \quad (14)$$

$$g(k+1) = g(k) + \mu e(k)v(k) \quad (15)$$

where the above coefficient updates are the basic relations of ACLMS algorithm. In order to estimate the frequency based on the weight coefficients, it is shown that [17]:

$$\hat{f}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi\Delta T} \sin^{-1}(\Im(h(k) + a_1(k)g(k))) \quad (16)$$

where the coefficient  $a_1(k)$  is defined as:

$$a_1(k) = \frac{-j\Im(h(k)) + j\sqrt{\Im^2(h(k)) - |g(k)|^2}}{g(k)} \quad (17)$$

when balanced condition holds, the weight coefficient  $g(k) = 0$  and ACLMS frequency estimation (16) simplifies to the standard CLMS frequency estimation (9).

#### IV. THE PROPOSED LMS ALGORITHM

Inspiring from the recursive relation for single phase voltage [6], a recursion can be derived for the complex voltage in (4). (4) is rewritten as the sum of two clockwise and counter clockwise voltages as the from:

$$v(k) = v_+(k) + v_-(k) \quad (18)$$

where  $v_+(k)$  and  $v_-(k)$  are:

$$v_+(k) = A(k)e^{j(\omega k \Delta T + \varphi)} \quad (19)$$

$$v_-(k) = B(k)e^{-j(\omega k \Delta T + \varphi)} \quad (20)$$

To find a recursion for complex voltage  $v(k)$ , it is straightforward to consider three consecutive time instants  $k-1$ ,  $k$  and  $k+1$ . Assuming the amplitudes  $V_a(k)$ ,  $V_b(k)$  and  $V_c(k)$  are constant over these three samples, then based on (5) and (6), we have  $A(k-1) = A(k) = A(k+1)$  and  $B(k-1) = B(k) = B(k+1)$ . Thus, it can be expressed:

$$\begin{aligned} v(k-1) &= e^{-j\omega\Delta T} v_+(k) + e^{j\omega\Delta T} v_-(k) \\ v(k) &= v_+(k) + v_-(k) \\ v(k+1) &= e^{j\omega\Delta T} v_+(k) + e^{-j\omega\Delta T} v_-(k) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where the elimination of  $v_+(k)$  and  $v_-(k)$  in (21), leads to the following recursion:

$$\begin{aligned} v(k+1) &= 2 \cos(\omega\Delta T)v(k) - v(k-1) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 \cos(\omega\Delta T) & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v(k) \\ v(k-1) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

So, it is more straightforward to estimate the desired signal  $v(k+1)$  based on two preceding samples  $v(k)$  and  $v(k-1)$  instead of  $v(k)$  and  $v^*(k)$ . Thus, in this new proposed LMS algorithm, the estimated complex voltage is:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{v}(k+1) &= w(k)v(k) - v(k-1) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} w(k) & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v(k) \\ v(k-1) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where weight coefficient is defined as  $w(k) \triangleq 2 \cos(\omega \Delta T)$ . To update weight coefficient  $w(k)$ , we use the cost function  $J(k) = |e(k)|^2 = e(k)e^*(k)$  where estimated error is  $e(k) = \hat{v}(k+1) - v(k+1)$ . The steepest descent update will be:

$$w(k+1) = w(k) - \mu \nabla_{w(k)} J(k) \quad (24)$$

where some mathematical simplifications leads to the final recursion of the new proposed LMS algorithm:

$$w(k+1) = w(k) + 2\mu \Re(v(k)e^*(k)) \quad (25)$$

where  $\Re$  is real part operator. This real part is appeared in the update because the weight coefficient  $w(k) = 2 \cos(\omega \Delta T)$  is real. The frequency estimator based on this new algorithm is:

$$\hat{f}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi \Delta T} \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{w(k)}{2}\right) \quad (26)$$

## V. SIMULATIONS

The new proposed LMS algorithm and its frequency estimator in (25) and (26) was applied to estimate the power system frequency from discrete time samples of three-phase voltage signals. Different experiments were conducted to evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithm (we nominated it as Modified LMS or MLMS) in comparison to the counterpart algorithms i.e. CLMS and ACLMS. Simulations were performed in the Matlab programming environment with a sampling frequency of 5kHz. The simulation results are averaged over 100 independent runs.

### A. Experiment 1

In first experiment, the power system was in normal condition at 50Hz and in noiseless case. At first, three phases are balanced ( $V_a(k) = V_b(k) = V_c(k) = 1$ ). At time  $t = 0.05$ , an extra 0.1-per-unit (p.u) magnitude was imposed at phases b and c, together with 0.05-p.u. on phase a ( $V_a(k) = 1.05, V_b(k) = 1.1, V_c(k) = 1.1$ ). Also, at  $t = 0.15$  a 50 percent voltage sag was happened at phase c ( $V_a(k) = 1.05, V_b(k) = 1.1, V_c(k) = 0.5$ ). All step sizes were set to  $\mu = 0.01$  and all algorithms were initialized at 50.5 Hz. The estimated frequency of all three algorithms (CLMS, ACLMS and MLMS) are shown in Figure (1). In balanced case  $t < 0.05$ s, all three algorithms were converged to 50Hz without oscillation and MLMS had faster convergence. In unbalanced case  $0.05 < t < 0.15$ , CLMS had an inevitable oscillation with frequency 100Hz




Fig. 1. Frequency estimation under unbalanced conditions. To generate an unbalanced condition, an extra 0.1 p.u. was imposed on phase b and phase c, plus a 0.05 p.u. magnitude on phase a at  $t = 0.05s$ . A 50% voltage sag in the third channel occurred at  $t = 0.15s$ . The frequency was initialized at 50.5Hz with the true system frequency set to 50Hz.

and with 0.2Hz amplitude. Also, ACLMS and MLMS converged to 50Hz with and without oscillation. After voltage drop of phase c at  $t = 0.15s$ , CLMS algorithm failed to converge. MLMS and ACLMS converged after voltage sag, at  $t = 0.22s$  and  $t = .35s$ , respectively. So, MLMS had faster convergence after voltage drop.

### B. Experiment 2

To compare proposed MLMS algorithm with CLMS and ACLMS, in noisy conditions, a bias and variance analysis was performed. At first, we set the variance of noise of  $\sigma_n = 0.001$ . In noisy cases, step sizes of all algorithms were selected as 0.0001. The three phases were at all times in unbalanced case with peak amplitudes  $V_a(k) = 1.1, V_b(k) = 1, V_c(k) = 1$ . The results of estimated frequency are shown in Figure (2). Figure (2) shows the faster convergence of MLMS in comparison to CLMS and ACLMS. It also shows a large bias of CLMS. To compare the algorithms, the bias and variance in various noisy conditions were calculated (Fig. (3) and Fig. (4)). For this, SNR is defined as  $SNR \triangleq 10 \log_{10}(\frac{0.5}{\sigma_n^2})$  and bias term is computed as  $Bias = \frac{1}{Card(C_s)} \sum_{k \in C_s} |\hat{f}(k) - f_0|$  where  $C_s$  is the convergence set. While after  $t = 6s$ , all algorithms were converged. So, we used  $C_s = \{k | 6 < k\Delta T < 8\}$  with the  $Card(C_s) = 2f_s = 10000$ . From Fig. (3) and Fig. (4), it is obvious that in noisy cases (i.e.  $SNR < 40dB$ ), the ACLMS has the best result among three algorithms. But, in very low noise cases (i.e.  $SNR > 40dB$ ), the MLMS






Fig. 2. Frequency estimation in unbalanced case. Phase a has an extra 0.1 p.u. magnitude at all times.




Fig. 3. Bias error of frequency estimation of three algorithms in various noisy conditions.

algorithm outperforms ACLMS.

### C. Experiment 3

Third experiment was done to illustrate the advantage of the proposed MLMS algorithm over CLMS and ACLMS in sag conditions. The step size set to 0.0001, 0.001 and .001 for MLMS, CLMS and ACLMS, respectively. At first, the power system is balanced with  $V_a(k) = V_b(k) = V_c(k) = 1$ . At  $t = 5$ s. a complete voltage sag is happened at phase a ( $V_a(k) = 0, V_b(k) = 1, V_c(k) = 1$ ). The simulation results show that the MLMS algorithm converge faster than ACLMS after sag, while step sizes are selected in such that the ACLMS has faster convergence at balanced case. So, MLMS has higher tracking ability than ACLMS. Also, CLMS diverge after sag condition. The results are shown in Figure (5).




Fig. 4. Variance of frequency estimation of three algorithms in various noisy conditions.




Fig. 5. The frequency estimation of three algorithms after a 100% voltage sag.

#### D. Experiment 4

Forth experiment was conducted to illustrate the sensitivity of algorithms to variations of amplitudes. Step sizes are set as  $\mu = 0.0001$  for all three algorithms. At first, the power system is balanced. After converging the algorithms, at  $t = 8s$ , a 1Hz variation is imposed on three phases with different amplitudes. They are:

$$V_a(k) = 1 + 0.05 \sin(2\pi t)$$

$$V_b(k) = 1 + 0.1 \sin(2\pi t)$$

$$V_c(k) = 1 + 0.15 \sin(2\pi t)$$

The estimated frequency is depicted in Figure (6). After amplitude variation, ACLMS and CLMS started to drift from 50Hz, but MLMS was not influenced by amplitude variation. Thus, MLMS




Fig. 6. Impact of oscillatory variation of amplitude on frequency estimation.




Fig. 7. Frequency estimation under sudden frequency changes.

is more robust to amplitude variation than ACLMS and CLMS.

### E. Experiment 5

In this experiment, frequency tracking ability of the algorithms were investigated using sudden frequency changes. The power system was in unbalanced condition with amplitudes  $V_a(k) = 1.1$ ,  $V_b(k) = 1$ ,  $V_c(k) = 1$ . The frequency of power system was changed from 50Hz to 52Hz at  $t = 5$ s. Then, the frequency was changed back to 50Hz at  $t = 13$ s. All step sizes were set to 0.0001. The results are shown in Figure (7). Because of unbalancedness, CLMS has a considerable bias for frequency estimation. But, ACLMS and MLMS have negligible bias. Also, MLMS has higher tracking ability than ACLMS.

TABLE I  
RUN TIME OF ALGORITHMS.

MLMS	CLMS	ACLMS
23.4ms	25.4ms	56.9ms

### F. Experiment 6

To illustrate the simplicity of the proposed algorithm in comparison to CLMS and ACLMS, the sixth experiment were performed. The power system was in unbalanced condition with amplitudes  $V_a(k) = 1.1$ ,  $V_b(k) = 1$ ,  $V_c(k) = 1$ . The frequency of power system was set to 50Hz. The initial guess of the frequency was assumed to be 50.2Hz. A duration of 8s was simulated and the average run time of all three algorithms was calculated and reported in Table I. It confirms that the proposed algorithm is simpler than CLMS and ACLMS.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this article, a new LMS algorithm for frequency estimation was proposed. The proposed algorithm relies on a recursion which was proved in this paper. This recursion suggests to infer the next sample of the complex voltage based on two preceding samples instead of just previous sample in CLMS or based on previous sample and its conjugate in ACLMS. Extensive simulations show the advantages and drawbacks of the proposed algorithm in comparison to CLMS and ACLMS. Simulation experiments showed that the proposed algorithm has faster convergence, higher frequency tracking ability, less sensitivity to amplitude variation, more accuracy in very low noise cases and less run time in comparison to CLMS and ACLMS. On the other hand, ACLMS outperforms our proposed algorithm in noisy cases.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. B. Giannakis, V. Kekatos, N. Gatsis, S. Kim, H. Zhu, and B. F. Vollenberg, "Monitoring and Optimization for Power Grids, A signal processing perspective," *IEEE Signal. Processing. Magazine*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 107–128, Sep 2013.
- [2] U. Abhisek, *Intelligent Systems and Signal Processing in Power Engineering*, Springer Ebooks, 2007.
- [3] Y. Xia, S. C. Douglas and D. P. Mandic, "Adaptive frequency estimation in smart grid applications," *IEEE Signal. Processing. Magazine*, vol. 29, pp. 44–54, Sep 2012.
- [4] O. Vainio and S. J. Ovaska, "Digital filtering for robust 50/60 Hz zero crossing detectors," *IEEE Trans. Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 426–430, Feb 1996.

- [5] M. Mojiri, M. Karimi-Ghartemani, and A. Bakhshai, "Estimation of power system frequency using an adaptive notch filter," *IEEE Trans. Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 56, no. 6, pp. 2470–2477, Dec 2007.
- [6] M. D. Kusljevic, "A simple recursive algorithm for frequency estimation," *IEEE Trans. Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 335–340, April 2004.
- [7] J. Yang and C. Liu, "A precise calculation of power system frequency," *IEEE Trans. Power Delivery*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 361–366, July 2001.
- [8] D. Liu, H. Liu, L. Zhou, J. Zhang, and B. Zhang, "Computationally Efficient Architecture for Accurate Frequency Estimation with Fourier Interpolation," *Circuit System and Signal Processing (CSSP)*, pp. 1–17, Sep 2013.
- [9] T. Lobos and J. Rezmer, "Real time determination of power system frequency," *IEEE Trans. Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 877–881, August 1997.
- [10] Y. Liang, "Adaptive frequency estimation of sinusoidal signals in colored non-Gaussian noises," *Circuit System and Signal Processing (CSSP)*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 517–533, 2000.
- [11] A. Routray, A. K. Pradhan, and K. P. Rao, "A novel kalman filter for frequency estimation of distorted signals in power systems," *IEEE Trans. Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 469–479, June 2002.
- [12] P. K. Dash, A. K. Pradhan, and G. Panda, "Frequency estimation of distorted power system signals using extended complex kalman filter," *IEEE Trans. Power Delivery*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 761–766, July 1999.
- [13] A. K. Pradhan, A. Routray, and A. Basak, "Power system frequency estimation using least mean square technique," *IEEE Trans. Power Delivery*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 1812–1816, July 2005.
- [14] H. Jeon, and T. Chang, "Iterative frequency estimation based on MVDR spectrum," *IEEE Trans. Power Delivery*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 621–630, April 2010.
- [15] M. Mojiri, D. Yazdani, and A. Bakhshai, "Robust adaptive frequency estimation of three-phase power systems," *IEEE Trans. Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 59, no. 7, pp. 1793–1802, July 2010.
- [16] M. D. Kusljevic, J. J. Tomic, and L. D. Juvanovic, "Frequency estimation of three-phase power system using weighted-least-square algorithm and adaptive FIR filtering," *IEEE Trans. Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 322–329, Feb 2010.
- [17] Y. Xia, and P. Mandic, "Widely linear adaptive frequency estimation of unbalanced three-phase power systems," *IEEE Trans. Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 74–83, Jan 2012.
- [18] Y. Xia, and D. P. Mandic, "Augmented MVDR spectrum-based frequency estimation for unbalanced power systems," *IEEE Trans. Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 62, no. 7, pp. 1917–1926, July 2013.
- [19] D. H. Dini, and D. P. Mandic, "Widely linear modeling for frequency estimation in unbalanced three-phase power systems," *IEEE Trans. Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 353–363, Feb 2013.
- [20] M. Akke, "Frequency estimation by demodulating two complex signals," *IEEE Trans. Power Delivery*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 157–163, Jan 1997.
- [21] V. Kaura, and V. Blasko, "Operation of a phase lockod loop system under distorted utility conditions," *IEEE Trans. Industry Applications*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 58–63, 1997.
- [22] P. Rodriguez, J. Pou, J. Bergas, J. I. Candela, R. P. Burgos, and D. Boroyevich, "Decoupled double synchronous reference frame PLL for power convertor control," *IEEE Trans. Power Electronics*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 584–592, 2007.
- [23] P. K Dash, R. K. Jena, G. Panda, and A. Routray, "An extended complex Kalman filter for frequency measurement of distorted signals," *IEEE Trans. Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 746–753, August 2000.

- [24] C. Huang, C. Lee, K. Shih, and Y. Wang, "Frequency estimation of distorted power system signals using a robust algorithm," *IEEE Trans. Power Delivery*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 41–51, Jan 2008.
- [25] M. M. Begovic, P. M. Djuric, S. Dunlap, and A. G. Phadke, "Frequency tracking in power networks in the presence of harmonics," *IEEE Trans. Power Delivery*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 480–486, 1993.
- [26] F. A. S. Neves, H. E. P. de Souza, F. Bradaschia, M. C. Cavalcanti, M. Rizo, and F. J. Rodriguez, "A space-vector discrete Fourier transform for unbalanced and distorted three-phase signals," *IEEE Trans. Industrial Electronics*, vol. 57, no. 8, pp. 2858–2867, August 2010.
- [27] F. A. S. Neves, H. E. P. de Souza, M. C. Cavalcanti, and F. Bradaschia, "Digital Filters for Fast Harmonic Sequence Component Separation of Unbalanced and Distorted Three-Phase Signals," *IEEE Trans. Industrial Electronics*, vol. 59, no. 10, pp. 3847–3859, Oct 2012.
- [28] E. Clarke, *Circuit Analysis of A.C. Power Systems*, New York: Wiley, 1943.