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Abstract: A mathematical model based on d-q axis theory and dynamic performance characteristic of brushless resolvers is discussed in this paper. The impact of rotor eccentricity on the accuracy of position in precise applications is investigated. In particular, the model takes the stator currents of brushless resolver into account. The proposed model is used to compute the dynamic and steady state equivalent circuit of resolvers. Finally, simulation results are presented. The validity and usefulness of the proposed method are thoroughly verified with experiments.
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1 Introduction

In advanced control methods such as vector control, rotor position and its speed must be known instantaneously. This can be achieved by using either an optical encoder or a resolver. In many applications, a resolver is the preferred choice because of its mechanical ruggedness, reliability and its ability to reject the common mode noise [1-4]. A resolver is an electromagnetic rotational transducer that detects angular displacements. It is easy to integrate with the motor system [5-6]. A typical brushless resolver is composed of two parts: Rotary Transformer and traditional resolver. There are two windings in the rotary transformer: primary (in stator) and secondary (in rotor) [7]. The rotary transformer transfers the exciting signal to rotating part and applies it to the primary winding of the resolver [8-9]. A traditional resolver has three windings, the first one is used as the excitation winding, and the other two, which are spaced 90° from each other, are the outputs. The induced voltages in the output windings contain rotor position information. Simplified dynamic equations of resolver were presented in [10], but the impact of eccentricity and stator currents were not considered, and sinusoidal steady state behavior was not studied. Another method in [11], based on magnetic field analysis, determines an optimal magnetic design, using 2-D FEM (two-dimensional finite element method) and includes eccentricity, but its computing process is time consuming.

The objective of this paper is to present a mathematical model based on d-q axis theory to predict the dynamic and static behavior of a brushless resolver, considering eccentricity effect. This model gives us the dynamic and static equivalent circuit for resolvers. The advantages of the proposed approach are simplicity, accuracy and less computation time.

The rest of this paper is organized as following: We present the resolver model in Section 2. The simulation model is explained in Section 3. Results of our experiments are discussed in Section 4, and conclusions are presented in Section 5.

2 Resolver Model

The resolver model proposed in this paper is based on d-q axis theory. The following assumptions are considered in the analysis:

a) Stator is assumed to have sinusoidal distributed polyphase windings.

b) Rotor has a winding with sinusoidal supply.

c) the model of resolver is obtained by assuming different resolver permeances in d-q axis.

Fig. 1 shows the model of a resolver. Each stator winding flux consists of leakage flux and main flux, the latter flux links the rotor [12].

2.1 Dynamic Model

The voltage equations in machine variables may be expressed as following:

\[
V_r = r_i + L_{w} \frac{di}{dt} + \omega L_{w} \sin \theta \frac{di}{dt} + L_{w} \cos \theta \frac{di}{dt} \\
+ \omega L_{w} \sin \theta \frac{di}{dt} - L_{w} \cos \theta \frac{di}{dt}
\]

(1)
\[ V_{in} = -r_i i_s + 2o_i L_{ms} \sin 2\theta_i + L_{ms} \sin 2\theta_i \frac{di_s}{dt} \]
\[ -2o_i L_{ms} \cos 2\theta_i + L_{ms} \sin 2\theta_i \frac{di_s}{dt} \]
\[ + o_i L_{ms} \cos 2\theta_i i_l + L_{ms} + L_{mr} - L_{ms} \cos 2\theta_i \frac{di_s}{dt} \]
\[ V_{in} = -r_i i_s - 2o_i L_{ms} \sin 2\theta_i i_l - L_{ms} \sin 2\theta_i \frac{di_s}{dt} \]
\[ -2o_i L_{ms} \cos 2\theta_i i_l + o_i L_{ms} \cos 2\theta_i i_l \]
\[ + (L_{ms} + L_{mr} + L_{ms} \cos 2\theta_i) \frac{di_s}{dt} - L_{ms} \cos 2\theta_i \frac{di_s}{dt} \]

The expressions for the flux linkages are:

\[ \lambda_q = (L_{ms} + L_{mr} - L_{ms}) \lambda_{q} = (L_{ms} + L_{ms}) \lambda_{q} \]
\[ \lambda_d = (L_{ms} + L_{mr} + L_{ms}) \lambda_{d} + L_{ms} \lambda_{d} \]
\[ \lambda_i = L_{ms} \lambda_{d} + (L_{ms} + L_{ms}) \lambda_{i} \]

and

\[ \psi = o_i \lambda, \quad \xi = o_i \lambda \]

\[ \psi = (X_{ms} + X_{mr}) \xi = X_{ms} \xi + \psi_{ms} \]
\[ \psi_d = (X_{ms} + X_{mr}) \xi + X_{ms} \xi = X_{ms} \xi + \psi_{ms} \]
\[ \psi_i = X_{ms} \xi + (X_{ms} + X_{mr}) \xi = X_{ms} \xi + \psi_{ms} \]

By referring rotor variables to the stator windings, voltage equations will be:

\[ V_{i} = -r_i i_s + \frac{1}{o_i} \frac{d\psi_s}{dt} + \frac{o_i}{o_i} \psi_d \]
\[ V_{d} = -r_i i_s + \frac{1}{o_i} \frac{d\psi_s}{dt} \]
\[ V_{i} = r_i i_s + \frac{1}{o_i} \frac{d\psi_s}{dt} \]

In order to obtain the equivalent circuits, the flux linkages per second in equation (7) should be replaced by currents. Thus, the voltage-current equations are as following:

\[ \begin{bmatrix} V_{i} \\ V_{d} \\ V_{i} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -r_i + \frac{p}{o_i} X_{ms} & \frac{o_i}{o_i} X_{ms} & \frac{o_i}{o_i} X_{ms} \\ \frac{p}{o_i} X_{ms} & -r_i + \frac{p}{o_i} X_{ms} & \frac{p}{o_i} X_{ms} \\ 0 & \frac{p}{o_i} X_{ms} & X_{ms} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_s \\ i_s \\ i_s \end{bmatrix} \]

where:

\[ X_{ms} = X_{ms} + X_{mr} \]
\[ X_{ms} = X_{ms} + X_{mr} \]
\[ X_{ms} = X_{ms} + X_{mr} \]
\[ X_{ms} = X_{ms} + X_{mr} \]

and \( p \) is \( \frac{d}{dt} \) [12].

The electrical equivalent circuits of the resolver are presented in Fig. 2.

The electromagnetic torque developed in the resolver is given by:

\[ T_{em} = \frac{p}{2o_i} (\psi_d i_s - \psi_i i_s) \]

and the mechanical equation of resolver in per unit can be written as:

\[ T_{em} (pu) + T_{mech} (pu) - T_{damp} (pu) = 2H \left( \frac{d\xi}{dt} \right) \]

where \( H \) is inertia constant expressed in second, \( T_{mech} \) is load torque and \( T_{damp} \) is fractional torque.
Fig. 2 Dynamic electrical equivalent circuits of the resolver.

2.2 Steady State Model

In steady state, the electrical angular velocity of the rotor is constant and equals to \( \omega \). In this mode of operation the rotor windings do not experience any change of flux linkages [14]. Thus, with \( \omega \) set equal to \( \omega \) and the time rate of change of all flux linkages neglected, the steady state versions of (7) and (8) become:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_q' &= V_q = -r_i I_q + \frac{\omega}{\omega_b} \psi_{a1} I_d + \frac{\omega}{\omega_b} \psi_{a2} I_d' \\
V_d' &= V_d = -r_i I_d + \frac{\omega}{\omega_b} \psi_{a1} I_q \\
V_{d*}' &= V_{d*} = -r_i I_d'
\end{align*}
\]

(12)

Here the \( \omega \) to \( \omega_b \) ratio is again included to accommodate analysis when the operation frequency is other than rated. In the synchronously rotating reference frame and using uppercase letters to denote the constant steady state variables [14]:

\[
\sqrt{2} V_u = V_{u*} - jV_{d*}
\]

(13)

where, \( F \) is each electrical variable (voltage, current, flux linkage), \( \bar{F}_u \) is a phasor which represents a sinusoidal quantity; \( F_{u*} \) and \( F_{d*} \) are real quantities representing the constant steady state variables of the synchronously rotating reference frame. Hence

\[
\sqrt{2} V_u = V_{u*} - jV_{d*}
\]

(14)

Substituting (12) into (14) yields:

\[
\sqrt{2} V_u = \left[ -r_i + \frac{\omega}{\omega_b} X_d - \frac{\omega}{\omega_b} X_{d*} I_d' \right] I_q + \frac{\omega}{\omega_b} \left[ -\frac{\omega}{\omega_b} (X_d - X_{d*}) I_d + \frac{\omega}{\omega_b} X_{d*} I_{d*}' \right]
\]

(15)

For symmetrical resolver, \( X_d = X_q \) and \( \omega_q = \omega_b \). So (15) can be write as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{V}_u &= -(r_i + jX_d) I_q + \tilde{E}_u \\
\tilde{E}_u &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} X_d I_d'
\end{align*}
\]

(16)

where

\[
X_i = X_d + X_{a2}
\]

(17)

Considering above equations, the steady state equivalent circuit of resolver is shown in Fig. 3.

3 Simulation

The state equations on the rotating d-q reference frame are introduced. MATLAB/Simulink software is used for simulation.

Input, output and state variables are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{StateVariables} &= [\psi_u, \psi_d, \psi_{d*}, \psi_{d*}'] \\
\text{InputVector} &= [V_d, \Delta T_{m}, \Delta T_{w}]
\end{align*}
\]

OutputVector = \( [\Delta \theta] \)

In generalized theory of electrical machinery, it is more convenient to use flux linkages as the state variables [14-15]. By this way, the differential operators change to integral operators. Using Equation (6) and (8), the flux-linkages equations could be obtained as follow:

\[
\psi_u = \omega_b \int \left[ V_u + \frac{r_i}{X_d} (-\psi_{a1} + \psi_{a2}) - \frac{\omega}{\omega_b} \psi_{a2} \right] dt
\]

(18)

\[
\psi_d = \omega_b \int \left[ V_d + \frac{r_i}{X_d} (-\psi_{a1} + \psi_{a2}) + \frac{\omega}{\omega_b} \psi_{a1} \right] dt
\]

(19)

\[
\psi_{d*} = \omega_b \int \left[ V_{d*} + \frac{r_i}{X_{d*}} (\psi_{a1} - \psi_{a2}) \right] dt
\]

(20)

where

\[
\psi_{ad} = \frac{1}{X_{ad}} + \frac{1}{X_{a2}} + \frac{1}{X_{a1}} \psi_{a2} + \frac{1}{X_{a1}} \psi_{a1}
\]

(21)

\[
\psi_{ad*} = \frac{1}{X_{ad*}} + \frac{1}{X_{a2}} \psi_{a2} + \frac{1}{X_{a1}} \psi_{a1}
\]

(22)

And angular position, stator and rotor current can be calculated as:
\[ 0(t) = \delta(t) = \theta_0(t) - \theta_e(t) \]
\[ = \int_{t_0}^{t} (\omega_i - \omega_e) dt + \theta_e(0) - \theta_e(0) \]  
(23)

\[ i_q = \frac{\Psi_q - \Psi_{me}}{x_q} \]  
(24)

\[ i_d = \frac{\Psi_d - \Psi_{me}}{x_d} \]  
(25)

\[ i' = \frac{\Psi'_d - \Psi_{me}}{x'_d} \]  
(26)

It must be mentioned that the proposed model can consider the eccentricity in a resolver, by taking into account a difference between \( L_d \) and \( L_q \) [11,13]. Fig. 4 shows a block diagram which simulates the resolvers.

4 Results and Discussions

Fig. 5 shows the resolver and its experimental setup. This resolver is a pancake type, and its specifications are presented in Table 1. Parameters of resolver's equivalent circuit, are given in Appendix I. This resolver was tested using a 100 watt, 12000 r.p.m. DC motor. The input resistance of R/D converter is very high and the current in the resolver's stator coils, which apply to the R/D converter, is about micro ampere [16]. Fig. 6 shows resolver's test conditions, nominal frequency (4 KHz) and 60 µA (5× conventional R/D Nominal Current) stator output currents. Table 2 shows the comparison of simulated and experimental output voltages. Results show good agreement between test and simulation voltages (about 1.19% error).

Fig. 3 Steady state equivalent circuits of the resolver.

Fig. 4 Block diagram of resolver simulation.
For practical test a rotary typoce that connected to VF5-HP20 CNC Machine (Computer Numeric Control) is used. Different rotary positions are produced by this typoce in $[0, 2\pi]$ rad. Resolver output and simulation result are compared in each of these positions (The resolver output is obtained from arctangent of output voltages ratio).

**Table 1** Specifications of tested resolver.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input voltage (rms)</td>
<td>7.07 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output voltage (rms)</td>
<td>3.53 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum position error (min)</td>
<td>10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum angular speed (rpm)</td>
<td>8000-12000 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duty Cycle</td>
<td>S1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole number</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 6 Output voltage of resolver versus time with 4 kHz excitation and 60 µA output currents, (a) simulated q-axis voltage, (b) simulated d-axis voltage, and (c) measured q-d axis voltages.
Table 2 Comparisons of calculated and measured results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output current (mA)</th>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Output voltage (simulated)</th>
<th>Output voltage (measured)</th>
<th>Error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7 shows the comparisons of simulation results with resolver output position. This figure shows the maximum position error is ±3 Arcmin.

In proposed model d-q axis inductances are different parametric variables. By using unequal values for $L_d$, $L_q$ eccentricity of resolver will be modeled.

Fig. 8 shows the eccentric resolver output voltages and angular position. Considering equations (2), (3); the resolver’s peak induced voltages aren’t influenced from eccentricity. Comparison of figures 6(a),(b) and 8(a),(b) confirms this effect. But the voltage phases are shifted. This phase shifting affects the detected angular position accuracy. Symmetric and eccentric resolver outputs are shown in Fig. 9.

This figure shows rotor eccentricity about 0.175 mm (50% gap eccentricity) causes 18.1 Arcdeg. error in detected angular position.

There are different methods for this error elimination that may be introduced in other papers. We have studied a new method based on resolver eigenvalues that will published soon.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, a brushless resolver was analyzed. Its dynamic and steady state equivalent circuits were presented for the first time. Proposed model is taking the eccentricity effect (By using different parametric inductance on q-d axis) and stator currents into account.

Comparison between experimental and simulation results shows 1.19% error in output voltages, and ±3 Arcmin error in detected angular position. These results demonstrated the accuracy of the proposed model for resolvers. Because of model’s ability in predicting eccentricity, the effect of 50% gap eccentricity was studied in stator voltages and detected angular position.
Fig. 8 Output voltage of eccentric resolver versus time with 4 KHz excitation, (a) d-axis voltage, (b) q-axis voltage, and (c) angular position.

Fig. 9 Comparison of angular position in eccentric and symmetric resolver.
Appendix
The test resolver equivalent circuit parameters are presented at Table I.

Table I Equivalent circuit parameter of tested resolver.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$r_s$ [Ω]</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{hs}$ [H]</td>
<td>$0.2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{ms}$ [H]</td>
<td>$2.089 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_t'$ [Ω]</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L'_{lr}$ [H]</td>
<td>$0.2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J [Kg.m²]</td>
<td>$1.24 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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