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Abstract: This paper introduces the indicators for surge arrester condition assessment based on the leakage current analysis. Maximum amplitude of fundamental harmonic of the resistive leakage current, maximum amplitude of third harmonic of the resistive leakage current and maximum amplitude of fundamental harmonic of the capacitive leakage current were used as indicators for surge arrester condition monitoring. Also, the effects of operating voltage fluctuation, third harmonic of voltage, overvoltage and surge arrester aging on these indicators were studied. Then, obtained data are applied to the multi-layer support vector machine for recognizing of surge arrester conditions. Obtained results show that introduced indicators have the high ability for evaluation of surge arrester conditions.

Keywords: Condition Monitoring, Indicator, Leakage Current, Metal Oxide Surge Arrester, Multi-Layer Support Vector Machine.

1 Introduction
The reliable and enough energy is an essential requirement of customers which must be supplied by electrical power system. This requirement should be supplied by electrical power system at all functional levels of production, transmission and distribution of electrical energy. According to the most recent studies, equipment monitoring is the best application method for the increase of reliability and equipments failure diagnostic [1-3].

Metal Oxide Surge Arresters (MOSAs) are one of the most important equipments applied in power system for protection against switching and lightning overvoltages. Surge arresters failures can damage to other substation equipment. Thus, they positively contribute to increase reliability and performance of the power system and their condition monitoring has significant influence on the reliability of power system. MOSAs characteristics during utilization vary due to the several factors, of which the most important factors are: aging due to operating voltage and impulse current, penetration of moisture into the housing and chemical reaction with the surrounding atmosphere. Degradation of metal oxide surge arresters due to these destructive factors leads to increase leakage currents [4-7]. Online and offline methods can be performed for leakage current measurement which accurate results may be obtained by using offline methods. The requirement of expensive equipment and surge arrester disconnecting from the system are drawbacks of offline method. The most common methods for evaluation of metal oxide surge arrester condition are online methods. Leakage current measurement method under voltage system [8-12], temperature measurement [13-14] and electromagnetic field measurement [15-16] are online methods that are used for metal oxide surge arrester monitoring.

The majority of most methods in literatures are based on surge arrester leakage current at operating voltage, which is based on harmonic analysis of the total leakage current, the third order harmonic of the resistive leakage current, power loss measurement and resistive leakage current amplitude measurement [8-11].

Ref. [17] has introduced the fundamental frequency of the resistive leakage current as more accurate indicator than proposed indicators in [8-11] for surge arrester condition monitoring. But, according to the obtained results in this paper, this indicator can’t diagnose various conditions of surge arresters. Therefore other indicators should be used to improve condition monitoring.

This paper investigates good indicators for surge arrester condition based on leakage current analysis. Maximum amplitude of resistive fundamental harmonic (I_{mrf}), Maximum amplitude of resistive third harmonic (I_{mrt}) and maximum amplitude of capacitive fundamental harmonic (I_{mcf}) have been used for surge arrester condition monitoring [18].

In this work, all calculations and analysis were...
performed using MATLAB, ATP-EMTP and MAXWELL computing software. Medium voltage surge arrester was used to study operating voltage fluctuation, voltage third harmonic, overvoltage and aging effects. In this paper to show the applicability of indicators Multi-Layer Support Vector Machine (MLSVM) was used for classification and recognition task. This tool is able to distinguish above conditions based on features information with acceptable precision. This is the novelty of paper which has not been considered ago.

2 Medium Voltage Surge Arrester Modeling

Metal oxide surge arresters have nonlinear voltage-current characteristic that this characteristic can be divided to two regions. In the first region, voltage is lower than nominal voltage and small leakage current flows through surge arresters. In second region, very small voltage changes correspond to exceptionally large changes of current. In the third region, not only voltage is higher than residual voltage but also current is bigger than rated current.

Under normal operating conditions, a small leakage current flow through surge arresters to ground that corresponds to the first section of surge arresters V-I characteristic. Surge arrester leakage current under steady-state conditions consists of capacitive and resistive components. Capacitive component of total leakage current appears under the fundamental frequency of the applied voltage and the resistive component is a non-sinusoidal waveform consists of the fundamental frequency component and odd harmonics components. Fig. 1 shows surge arrester equivalent circuit to investigate surge arrester leakage current in 20 kV network [18]. Also, Table 1 shows the surge arrester characteristics.

Table 1 Technical characteristics of studied surge arresters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surge Arrester Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rated voltage (kV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous operating voltage (kV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightning impulse current with 8/20 µs (kA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum residual voltage (kV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total external creepage distance (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shed numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varistor numbers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Fig. 1, $C_{FF}$ is Capacitance between upper and lower flanges, $C_{FU,i}$ $(i=1, 2...4)$ are stray capacitances of upper flange with spacer and aluminium sheets, $C_{FD,i}$ $(i=1, 2...4)$ are stray capacitances of lower flange with spacer and aluminium sheets and $C_{SC,i}$ $(i=1, 2)$ are capacitances between spacer and aluminium sheets.

Fig. 2 shows the typically obtained applied voltage waveform $U(t)$ and total leakage current. Total measured leakage current $i(t)$ consists of capacitive $i_c$ and resistive $i_r$ components.

As shown in Fig. 2, divided resistive have fundamental and higher order harmonics. The creation of higher order harmonics in resistive current are caused by the varistor non-linearity behavior. Due to the pure sinusoid applied voltage, capacitive component has only fundamental component.

3 Voltage Fluctuation Influence

In order to study the influence of voltage fluctuation, surge arrester model as shown in Fig. 1 was used. The normal operating voltage was simulated by a pure cosine function with 1pu amplitude ($U_m=1$ pu). For the simulation of voltage fluctuation, the operating voltage was varied in the range 0.95 $U_m$ to 1.05 $U_m$.

The measured total leakage current consists of resistive and capacitive components. In this work, the time-delay addition method [19] is used to extract resistive component. This method is able to separate the resistive current and capacitive current components from the total leakage current without measuring the voltage across the surge arrester.

Fig. 1 Medium voltage surge arrester equivalent circuit.

Fig. 2 Typical waveforms of metal oxide surge arrester voltage and current.
The harmonic analysis of obtained current signals is done by employing the FFT algorithm in MATLAB software. Table 2 shows the values of indicators under applied voltages $U_{in}$, 0.95 $U_m$ and 1.05 $U_m$.

According to the results as shown in Table 2, indicator $I_{mc1}$ under voltage $U_{in}$ has the value of 0.896 μA and under voltage 0.95 $U_m$ is 0.753 μA that shows a decrease of 15.9%. At voltage 1.05 $U_m$, $I_{mc1}$ increases to 1.0895 μA which it is almost 21.6% greater than its value at nominal operating voltage $U_{in}$. In comparison with its value under nominal voltage $U_{in}$, the variation of under 0.95 $U_m$ and 1.05 $U_m$ is -27.4% and 41.98%, respectively.

When the applied voltage is 105% $U_m$, $I_{mc1}$ has the value of 221.64 μA. The increase of $I_{mc1}$ in this applied voltage is 5% in comparison with its value at voltage $U_{in}$. In comparison with its value at nominal voltage $U_{in}$, $I_{mc1}$ shows a diminishing of 5% at 95% $U_m$. It can be concluded that the variation of this indicator is proportional to the surge arrester voltage fluctuation and can be used for evaluating the surge arrester condition. Therefore by measuring this indicator and compared with fundamental harmonic of capacitive component at nominal operating voltage, it can be realized the voltage fluctuation at leakage current measurement moment.

### 4 The Influence of Overvoltage

Overvoltage in power systems may be generated by external and internal events, such as lightning, switching, load rejection, etc. In a case that surge arrester is stressed with overvoltage during its leakage current measurement, the measured leakage current consists of measurement error. Therefore, the amplitudes of capacitive and resistive components are greater than their values at normal operation voltage. Consequently, to realize overvoltage at current measurement instant and to prevent the incorrect diagnosis of surge arrester condition, the voltage across surge arrester must be measured.

In this paper, the overvoltage, occurred during current measurement, was realized through new introduced indicator ($I_{mc1}$) without voltage measuring. To study the influence of overvoltage, the applied voltage of surge arrester was changed in the range of 1.05 to 1.25$U_m$ and then leakage current was measured.

Table 3 shows the influence of overvoltage on the values of introduced indicators. According to the results as shown in Table 2, the increase of overvoltage amplitude causes the increments of indicators $I_{mr1}$ and $I_{mc1}$.

The magnitude of overvoltage during current measurement could be calculated as follow:

$$overvoltage\ amplitude = \frac{I_{mc1(measured)} - I_{mc1(norm)}}{I_{mc1(norm)}} \times U_m$$ (1)

According to the Eq. (1), the increase of the value of indicator $I_{mc1}$ is proportional to the overvoltage magnitude and the ratio of capacitive component under overvoltage condition to capacitive component in normal condition is a good criterion for identification of the existence of overvoltage during surge arrester current measurement.

It can be concluded that, the best result is provided by the indicator $I_{mc1}$ to detect overvoltage during current measuring and it is the most sensitive to network voltage variations.

### 5 The Influence of Voltage Harmonics

In this section, the capability of proposed indicators under the presence of operating voltage harmonics has been analyzed. The allowable values of harmonics of voltage depending on the voltage level where the maximum acceptable value of the third harmonic of voltage is 5% in LV–MV networks.

Under sinusoid applied voltage, the measured leakage current consists of resistive and capacitive components. Capacitive current has only fundamental harmonic. Suppose the applied voltage contains $n^{th}$ harmonic component [20, 21]:

$$U(t) = U_i \cos(\omega t) + U_c \cos(n \omega t + \theta_c)$$ (2)

where $U_k$ is the maximum value of the $n^{th}$ harmonic of voltage, $\omega$ is radial frequency of the fundamental harmonic, $n$ is order of the harmonic, $t$ is time, and $\theta_c$ is the initial phase of the nth harmonic.

Due to the existence of harmonic in applied voltage, capacitive current consists of fundamental and nth harmonic components:

$$i_r(t) = i_{r1}(t) + i_{rn}$$ (3)

Resistive current includes of fundamental and other harmonic components:

$$i_r = i_r^f + i_r^n$$

$$i_m = i_m^f + i_m^n$$ (4)

where $i_{r1}$ and $i_m$ are fundamental and $n^{th}$ harmonics of resistive current respectively, which are the consequence of metal oxide surge arrester nonlinear characteristic. $i_{rn}$ and $i_m^n$ are fundamental and $n^{th}$ harmonics of resistive current respectively. These are the consequence of the existence of the higher harmonics in voltage.

#### Table 2 Values of indicators at different voltage levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>95% $U_m$</th>
<th>$U_m$</th>
<th>105%$U_m$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I_{mr1}$ (μA)</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>1.0895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{mr2}$ (μA)</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.34644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{mc1}$ (μA)</td>
<td>200.31</td>
<td>211.04</td>
<td>221.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 3 Values of indicators under network overvoltage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>$U_m$</th>
<th>1.1$U_m$</th>
<th>1.15$U_m$</th>
<th>1.2$U_m$</th>
<th>1.25$U_m$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I_{mr1}$ (μA)</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>1.3591</td>
<td>1.7203</td>
<td>2.316</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{mr2}$ (μA)</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.50322</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>1.112</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{mc1}$ (μA)</td>
<td>211.04</td>
<td>232.143</td>
<td>242.7</td>
<td>253.2</td>
<td>263.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.1 The Influence of the Third Harmonic of Voltage

When the applied voltage includes 3rd harmonic component, capacitive current consists of fundamental and third harmonic components. Measuring the amplitude and phase angle of the 3rd harmonic of voltage is required to extract the third harmonic of capacitive current \([11]\). The introduced method in \([18]\) is able to extract proper resistive and capacitive currents from total measured leakage current in pure sinusoidal voltage without measuring the voltage across the surge arrester.

Consider the case, when the applied voltage isn’t measured during leakage current measurement and it is supposed to be pure sinusoidal wave. In this case, when the method introduced in \([19]\) is applied, the third harmonic of capacitive current insert to the third harmonic of resistive current and thus extracted capacitive current consists of only fundamental component. Therefore the third order harmonic of resistive current becomes greater than fundamental component and it can be used as a sign for identifying the existence of voltage harmonic during surge arrester current measurement.

Table 4 shows harmonic components of resistive and capacitive currents that extracted by introduced method in \([19]\) and \([11]\) for \(U_3=1\%\), \(\theta_3=0\). Every two methods have identical results except for \(I_{mc3}\) and \(I_{mc3}\). Method \([19]\) is based on pure sinusoid voltage and don’t produce \(I_{mc3}\), so third harmonic of capacitive current insert to the third harmonic of resistive current. Consequently, the third harmonic of resistive current is greater than the fundamental harmonic of resistive current. The amplitude of the third harmonic of voltage is obtained as follows:

\[
U_3 = \frac{I_{mr3}}{3I_{mc1}} \quad (5)
\]

Figs. 3–5 show the dependency of the introduced indicators (obtained from method \([18]\)) on the third harmonic of voltage \(U_3\) and the initial phase of the third harmonic \(\theta_3\).

Third and fundamental harmonic of resistive current in pure sinusoid voltage show that the third harmonic of resistive current \((I_{mr3})\) is greater than fundamental harmonic \((I_{mr1})\).

Table 4 Harmonic components of resistive and capacitive currents \((U_3=1\%\), \(\theta_3=0\)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>([11]) Method</th>
<th>([18]) Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(I_{mr1}) (μA)</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>0.978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I_{mr3}) (μA)</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>6.3368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I_{mc1}) (μA)</td>
<td>211.04</td>
<td>211.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I_{mc3}) (μA)</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also the third harmonic of voltage has the most effect on the third harmonic of resistive current indicator \((I_{mr3})\) while \(I_{mc1}\) is the least sensitive to the presence of third harmonic of voltage. This condition can be used as a feature for realizing the existence of voltage harmonic.
Based on above-mentioned facts, it can be seen that the amplitude of third harmonic and its initial phase has some influences on indicators. The maximum values of indicators Imr1 and Imr3 take place at θ1 = 90° and θ3 = -90°, respectively. The maximum value for indicator Imc1 occurs at around θ = 0°.

6 The Influence of Aging

Metal oxide surge arresters can be degraded during utilization due to the destructive factors. Degradation of metal oxide surge arresters caused by destructive factors can damage them and thus change surge arresters U-I characteristic. Therefore, U-I characteristics of Zno blocks (was used in Fig. 1) was intentionally decreased to study aging effect. Ultimately, this condition was distinguished by introduced indicators. Normal voltage with a magnitude of U_m was applied to aged surge arrester to investigate the influence of aging on introduced indicators for each surge arrester block and complete aged surge arrester (total six blocks were aged). Table 5 and Table 6 show aging effect on indicators for 15% and 20% reductions in U-I characteristic. According to these tables, it is clear that Imr1 and Imr3 in aged metal oxide surge arrester have greater values when compared to the corresponding values in un-aged surge arrester while Imc1 has a little change. So the observation and comparison of such variation in these indicators with their values in normal operating condition can be used for realizing aged surge arresters or aged Zno block in surge arrester. By comparing these tables with Table 3, it is obvious that all indicators Imr1, Imr3 and Imc1 in overvoltage condition are greater than corresponding values in normal operation condition. Thus, the introduced indicators can be able to distinguish the overvoltage condition from aging condition correctly.

7 Multi-Layer Support Vector Machine

In this section, multi-layer SVM is used to recognize surge arrester conditions.

7.1 Review of SVM

Support vector machine was introduced by Vapnik and co-workers in the late 1990s. While traditional statistical theory keeps to Empirical Risk Minimization (ERM), SVM satisfies structural risk minimization (SRM) based on Statistical Learning Theory (SLT), whose decision rule could still obtain small error to independent test sampling. SVM mainly has two classes of applications, classification and regression. The classification problem can be restricted to consideration of the two-class problem without loss of generality. In this problem, the goal is to separate the two classes by a function (a classifier), which is induced from available examples and work well on unseen examples. There are many possible linear classifiers that can separate the data, but there is only one that maximizes the margin (maximizes the distance between it and the nearest data point of each class).

This linear classifier is termed the optimal separating hyperplane. In most instances, problems could not be separated linearly. Nonlinear classification algorithm is reviewed in this section [22, 23].

Given a set of training data:

\[ D = \{(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_l, y_l)\} \cap \mathbb{R}^n, y \in \{-1, 1\} \]  

where \( vi \) is the training data, \( l \) the number of training data and \( y_i \) is the class label (1 or -1) for \( x \).

Firstly, a nonlinear function is employed to map the original input space \( \mathbb{R}^n \) to \( N \)-dimensional feature space:

\[ \phi(x) = (\phi_1(x), \phi_2(x), \ldots, \phi_N(x)) \]  

**Table 5** The influence of aging on surge arrester operation and introduced indicators (15% reduction).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Un-Aged</th>
<th>Aged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First Block</td>
<td>Second Block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Imr1} ) (μA)</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Imr3} ) (μA)</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Imc1} ) (μA)</td>
<td>211.04</td>
<td>211.0446</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6** The influence of aging on surge arrester operation and introduced indicators (20% reduction).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Un-Aged</th>
<th>Aged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First Block</td>
<td>Second Block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Imr1} ) (μA)</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Imr3} ) (μA)</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Imc1} ) (μA)</td>
<td>211.04</td>
<td>211.055</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Then the separating hyperplane is constructed in this high dimension feature space. The classifier takes the form as:

\[ y(x) = \text{sign}(w \cdot \phi(x) + b) \]  

(8)

where \( w \) is the weight vector and \( b \) is a scalar.

To obtain the optimal classifier, \( ||w|| \) should be minimized under the following constraints:

\[ y_i [\phi(x_i) \cdot w + b] \geq 1 - \xi_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, l \]

(9)

The variables \( \xi_i \) are positive slack variables, which is necessary to allow misclassification.

Thus, according to principle of structural risk minimization, the optimal problem can be formulated as minimization of the following objective function \( J \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Min } J(w, \xi) &= \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + C\sum_i \xi_i \\
\text{Subject to } y_i [\phi(x_i) \cdot w + b] &\geq 1 - \xi_i, \quad \xi_i \geq 0, i = 1, \ldots, l
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

where \( C \) is the margin parameter and controls the tradeoff between errors of the SVM on training data and margin maximization.

SVM is able to separate two classes by a classifier. Due to this limitation, multi-Layer SVM is used to classify more than two classes. Fig. 6 shows multi-Layer SVM structure that classifies three classes. The first SVM (SVM1) is trained to separate class1 from other classes and the second SVM (SVM2) separates class 2 and class 3 from each other. Because of special training data for every SVM, it is not able to change SVMs location after training process. Generally \( n-1 \) SVMs is required to classify \( n \) classes from each other.

If the first SVM fails to correctly classify the samples, some samples of the class1 are passed to the next layer. In this case, these shifted samples categorize by SVM2 in class 2 or class 3. So the accuracy rate of classifier decreases. This is the most disadvantage point of this structure. To solve this problem, \( n \) SVMs for the classification of \( n \) classes are required. Therefore, samples that have not been classified in this structure of multi-layer SVM, transfer to the last layer and compose non-classification class.

7.2 Classification Results

In this section, the ability of new indicators via Multi-Layer SVM has been evaluated. For this purpose a dataset for different conditions of surge arresters have been used which 60% of data have been used for training of the classifier and the rest for testing. Fig. 7 shows data dispersion which obtained from simulation.

According to Fig. 7, \( \text{Imr}_1 \) criterion is in good agreement with the samples’ ageing and overvoltage condition. These two conditions can be separated from other classes with this criterion. Also \( \text{Imc}_1 \) can be used to only distinguish aged condition from overvoltage. To separate harmonic samples from voltage fluctuation ones, \( \text{Imr}_3 \) can be used as effective indicator and it has to be used for recognizing this class. Figs. 8 and 9 show the basic principle of training and testing of multi-layer SVM classifier, respectively. All of the four SVMs of the classifier adopt Gaussian radial basis function as their kernel. Based on the characteristics of different surge arrester conditions, four SVMs are developed to identify the four aforementioned conditions. SVM1 is established to train the first layer classification. SVM1 classify the harmonic condition class and other classes.

![Fig. 6 Multi-layer Support Vector Machine structure.](image)

![Fig. 7 The dispersion of samples.](image)
If the class is harmonic condition, the output of \( \text{SVM}_1 \) is -1; otherwise the output is +1. The second SVM (\( \text{SVM}_2 \)) is trained to separate aged state from two other states. \( \text{SVM}_3 \) is established to train the third layer classification and distinguish overvoltage from voltage fluctuation condition and finally \( \text{SVM}_4 \) trains to segregate voltage fluctuation state from non-classification samples.

After training the network, the testing samples are inputted into the trained Multi-layer SVMs. According to the output, the four classes can be classified. Table 7 shows the details of samples that used for training and testing of Multi-Layer SVM.

Table 7 The details of Multi-layer SVM classifier performance

| Classification Type | \( \text{SVM}_1 \) | \( \text{SVM}_2 \) | \( \text{SVM}_3 \) | \( \text{SVM}_4 \) | \multicolumn{2}{c}{Multi-Layer SVM Classifier Results} | \multicolumn{2}{c}{Samples Numbers} |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
|                     | Non-Classification | Voltage Fluctuation | Overvoltage | Aging | Harmonic | Test | Train |
| Harmonic            | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 40 | 55 |
| Aging               | 1 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 27 | 45 |
| Overvoltage         | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 49 |
| Voltage fluctuation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 32 |
| Sum                 | 2 | 23 | 1 | 26 | 39 | 121 | 181 |

Table 8 The accuracy of Multi-Layer SVM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SVM</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Correct</th>
<th>Incorrect</th>
<th>Accuracy %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{SVM}_1 )</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{SVM}_2 )</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>98.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{SVM}_3 )</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{SVM}_4 )</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>96.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Layer SVM</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>97.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8 Conclusion

In view of the analysis of the calculation results, the following conclusions can be made:

• The influence of voltage fluctuation on the applicability of the introduced indicator is obvious.

In the case of voltage fluctuation, all introduced indicators change but the best indicator for evaluating the surge arrester condition is the fundamental harmonic of capacitive leakage current \( I_{mc1} \). So by measuring this indicator and comparing it with its value at nominal operating voltage, we can realize voltage fluctuation at leakage current measurement time.

• The existence of overvoltage during leakage current measuring causes the increase of indicators \( I_{mc1}, I_{mc3} \) and \( I_{nc} \). The ratio of indicator \( I_{mc1} \) in overvoltage condition to its value in normal condition is proportional to the overvoltage magnitude. Therefore it can be used as a good criterion for identification of overvoltage at leakage current measurement moment.

• Third harmonic of resistive current indicator (\( I_{mr3} \)) is sensitive to the presence of third harmonic of
voltage while the presence of third harmonic of voltage has the least effect on \( I_{mc1} \) and \( I_{mr1} \). The third harmonic of resistive current (\( I_{mc3} \)) is greater than fundamental harmonic (\( I_{mr1} \)) in the presence of third harmonic in applied voltage.

- \( I_{mc1} \) and \( I_{mr3} \) in aged metal oxide surge arrester are greater when compared to the corresponding values in un-aged while \( I_{mc1} \) has a little change. So the observation and comparison of such variation in these indicators with their values in normal operating condition can be used for realizing aged surge arresters.
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